arXiv:1004.1221v1 [math.AP] 8 Apr 2010

Inviscid limit for the derivative Ginzburg–Landau equation with small data in higher spatial dimensions

Lijia Han* Baoxiang Wang† Boling Guo‡

*,‡*Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics, PO Box 8009, Beijing 100088, China*

†*LMAM, School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China.*

Abstract. We study the Cauchy problem for derivative Ginzburg–Landau equation $u_t = (\nu+i)\triangle u + \overrightarrow{\lambda_1}\cdot\nabla(|u|^2u) + (\overrightarrow{\lambda_2}\cdot\nabla u)|u|^2 + \alpha|u|^{2\delta}u$, where $\delta\in\mathbb{N}$, $\overrightarrow{\lambda_1}, \overrightarrow{\lambda_2}$ are complex constant vectors, $\nu\in[0,1]$, $\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$. For $n\geqslant 3$, we show that it is uniformly global wellposed for all $\nu\in[0,1]$ if initial data u_0 belong to modulation space $M^s_{2,1}$ $(s>3)$ and $\|u_0\|_{L^2}\ll 1$. Moreover, we show that its solution will converge to that of the derivative Schrödinger equation in $C(0,T;L^2)$ if $\nu\to 0$ and $u_0\in M^4_{2,1}$. For $n=2$, we obtain the local well-posedness results and inviscid limit with the Cauchy data in $M^s_{1,1}$ $(s>3)$ and $\|u_0\|_{L^1}\ll 1$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classifications. 35 Q 55, 35 K 55.

Key words and phrases. Derivative Ginzburg-Landau equation; Derivative Schrödinger equation; Inviscid limit, Modulation spaces.

1 introduction

In this paper, we consider the Cauchy problem for the derivative complex Ginzburg–Landau (DCGL) equation:

$$u_t = (\nu+i)\triangle u + \overrightarrow{\lambda_1}\cdot\nabla(|u|^2u) + (\overrightarrow{\lambda_2}\cdot\nabla u)|u|^2 + \alpha|u|^{2\delta}u, \quad u(0,x)=u_0(x), \tag{1.1}$$

where u is a complex valued function of $(t,x)\in\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbb{R}^+=[0,+\infty]$; $\nu>0$, $\alpha\in\mathbb{C}$, $\delta\in\mathbb{N}$, $\overrightarrow{\lambda_1}$ and $\overrightarrow{\lambda_2}$ are complex vectors.

The DCGL equation (1.1) arises as the envelope equation for a weakly subcritical bifurcation to counter-propagating waves, and it is also important for a number of physical systems including the onset of oscillatory convection in binary fluid mixture; cf. [3]. In the case of one or two dimensions, the global existence of solutions, finite dimensional global attractors, Gevery regularity of solutions have been studied extensively for equation (1.1); cf. [8, 14, 13, 30, 39]. Taking $\nu=0$, (1.1) can be written as

$$u_t - i\triangle u = \overrightarrow{\lambda_1}\cdot\nabla(|u|^2u) + (\overrightarrow{\lambda_2}\cdot\nabla u)|u|^2 + \alpha|u|^{2\delta}u, \quad u(0,x)=u_0(x), \tag{1.2}$$

---

[*] Email: hljmath@gmail.com

[†] Email: wbx@math.pku.edu.cn

[‡] gbl@mail.iapcm.ac.cn

which is the well-known derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation (DNLS). There are some recent works which have been devoted to equation (1.2); cf. [25, 26, 28, 29, 32, 37]. N. Hayashi and Ozawa in [18] proposed the method of gauge transformation which is useful to avoid the loss of derivatives for equation (1.2) in one spacial dimension.

A natural question between Eqs. (1.1) and (1.2) is the inviscid limit. Let u and v be the solutions of the Cauchy problems of Eqs. (1.1) and (1.2), respectively. Does u converge to v as the parameter ν tends to 0?

When $\overrightarrow{\lambda_1} = \overrightarrow{\lambda_2} = 0$, Eq. (1.1) can be rewritten as

$$u_t = (\nu + i)\triangle u + \alpha |u|^{2\delta} u, \qquad u(0,x) = u_0(x), \tag{1.3}$$

which is the well-known complex Ginzburg–Landau equation. Eq. (1.3) is an important model equation in the description of spatial pattern formation and of the onset of instabilities in nonequilibrium fluid dynamical systems; cf. [6]. For Eq. (1.3), there are some recent results devoted to the global well-posedness and limit behavior, see Ginibre and Velo [11], Wu [42], Bechouche and Jungel [2], Wang [38], Machihara and Nakamura [31], Wang and Huang [17].

For the derivative complex Ginzburg–Landau equation (1.1), using Bourgain's $X^{s,b}$ method, Huo and Jia [16] obtained the inviscid limit for the solutions in $C([0,T]; H^s)$ ($s > 1/2$) in one spatial dimension, where the bilinear estimate condition $2\vec{\lambda}_1 + \vec{\lambda}_2 = 0$ and some energy estimate conditions on coefficients and $\|u_0\|_{L^2} \ll 1$ are required. B. Wang and Y. Wang in [41] also considered the inviscid limit for the solutions, when initial data belong to $\dot{H}^3 \cup \dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, in one spacial dimension. As far as the authors can see, there are no result on the inviscid limit of Eq. (1.1) in high dimension case $n \geqslant 2$.

It was well known that $H^{s+\epsilon+n/2} \subset M^s_{2,1} \subset H^s$, for $\forall \epsilon > 0$. In this paper, we will show that Eq. (1.1) is uniformly globally well posed on the parameter $\nu \geqslant 0$ in modulation space $M^s_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \geqslant 3$, $s > 3$ with the sufficiently small Cauchy data in L^2. As $\nu \to 0$, we prove that the solutions of Eq. (1.1) will converge to that of the derivative Schrödinger equation. When $n = 2$, we also show local well-posedness results and inviscid limit in modulation space $M^s_{1,1}, s > 5/2$. The techniques used in this paper are the anisotropic global smooth effect estimates and maximal inequality estimates which are independent of parameter $\nu \geqslant 0$, those estimates in the case $\nu = 0$ were obtained in our earlier work [37], where global well-posedness for equation (1.2) is showed in $M^s_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n), s \geqslant 5/2$, for small Cauchy data.

Finally, we consider the quadratic derivative Ginzburg–Landau equation:

$$u_t - (\nu + \mathrm{i})\triangle u - \overrightarrow{\lambda} \cdot \nabla(u^2) = 0, \quad u(0,x) = u_0(x). \tag{1.4}$$

Its limit equation is

$$u_t - \mathrm{i}\triangle u - \overrightarrow{\lambda} \cdot \nabla(u^2) = 0, \quad u(0,x) = u_0(x). \tag{1.5}$$

When $n = 1$, Christ in [4] showed that for Eq. (1.5), the flow map $u_0 \to u$ is not continuous in any Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ ($s \in \mathbb{R}$) for any short time lifespan ($\|u_0\|_{H^s} \ll 1$ but $\|u(t)\|_{H^s} \gg 1$ for some $t \ll 1$). In [36], Stefanov showed the existence for the weak solutions in H^1 space with small total disturbance $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^1) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^1) \cap \{f : \sup_x |\int_{-\infty}^x f(y)dy| \leqslant \epsilon\}$.

In this paper, we will show that Eqs. (1.4) and (1.5) are locally well posed in modulation space $M^3_{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the inviscid limit between Eqs. (1.4) and (1.5) also holds in the space $M^3_{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for the solutions. From this point of view, $M^s_{1,1}$ seems to be a proper space to deal with the solutions of quadratic derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation.

1.1 Main results

Theorem 1.1 *Let* $n \geqslant 3$. *Assume initial data* $u_0 \in M^s_{2,1}, s > 3$ *and* $\|u_0\|_{L^2} \leqslant \delta$ *for some small* $\delta > 0$[1]. *Then Eq.* (1.1) *has a unique global solution* $u_\nu \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, M^s_{2,1}) \bigcap X_s$ *satisfying*

$$\|u_\nu\|_{X_s} \leqslant C\|u_0\|_{M^s_{2,1}}.$$

where C *is independent of* ν, X_s *is defined in* (4.1).

Theorem 1.2 *Let* $n \geqslant 3$. *Assume initial data* $u_0 \in M^4_{2,1}$ *and* $\|u_0\|_{L^2} \leqslant \delta$ *for some small* $\delta > 0$. u_ν *is the solution of* (1.1), *and let* v *is the solution of* (1.2) *with the same initial data, then for any* $T > 0$ *we have*

$$\|u_\nu - v\|_{C(0,T;L^2)} \lesssim \|u_\nu - v\|_{C(0,T;M_{2,1})} \lesssim \nu T, \quad \nu \ll 1.$$

Theorem 1.3 *Let* $n = 1, 2$. *Assume initial data* $u_0 \in M^s_{1,1}, s > 5/2$ *and* $\|u_0\|_{L^1} \leqslant \delta$ *for some small* $\delta > 0$[2]. *Then Eq.* (1.1) *has a unique local solution*

$$u_\nu \in C([0,1], M^s_{2,1}) \bigcap C([0,1], M^{s-1/2}_{1,1}) \bigcap X^1_s$$

satisfying $\|u_\nu\|_{X^1_s} \leqslant C\|u_0\|_{M^s_{1,1}}$, *where* C *is independent of* ν, X^T *is defined in* (6.1). *Moreover, if* $u_0 \in M^3_{1,1}$, *then we have*

$$\|u_\nu - v\|_{C(0,T;L^1)} \lesssim \|u_\nu - v\|_{C(0,T;M_{1,1})} \lesssim \nu T, \quad \nu \ll 1.$$

where v *is the solution of the DNLS* (1.2) *with the same initial data.*

Theorem 1.4 *Let* $n \in \mathbb{N}$. *Assume initial data* $u_0 \in M^s_{1,1}, s > 3$ *and* $\|u_0\|_{L^1} \leqslant \delta$ *for some small* $\delta > 0$. *Then Eq.* (1.4) *has a unique solution*

$$u_\nu \in C([0,1], M^s_{2,1}) \cap C([0,1], M^{s-1/2}_{1,1}) \cap \tilde{X}^1_s$$

---

[1] $u_0 \in M^s_{2,1}$ implies that $u_0 \in L^2$, $\delta > 0$ may depends on $\|u_0\|_{M^s_{2,1}}$.

[2] $u_0 \in M^s_{1,1}$ implies that $u_0 \in L^1$, $\delta > 0$ may depends on $\|u_0\|_{M^s_{1,1}}$.

satisfying $\|u_\nu\|_{\tilde{X}^1_s} \leqslant C\|u_0\|_{M^s_{1,1}}$, *where* C *is independent of* ν, $\tilde{X}^T$ *is defined in* (7.3). *Meanwhile, we have*

$$\|u_\nu - v\|_{C(0,T;L^1)} \lesssim \|u_\nu - v\|_{C(0,T;M_{1,1})} \lesssim \nu T, \quad \nu \ll 1.$$

where u_ν *and* v *is the solution of the DCGL* (1.4) *and DNLS* (1.5) *with the same initial data.*

Now we give a brief explanation to the proof of our main results. We rewrite (1.1) into an integral equation:

$$\begin{aligned} &u = G_\nu(t)u_0 - \mathscr{A}_\nu[\overrightarrow{\lambda_1} \cdot \nabla(|u|^2 u) + (\overrightarrow{\lambda_2} \cdot \nabla u)|u|^2 + \alpha |u|^{2\delta} u], \\ &G_\nu(t) = \mathscr{F}^{-1} e^{-\mathrm{i}t|\xi|^2 - \nu t|\xi|^2} \mathscr{F}, \quad \mathscr{A}_\nu f(t,x) = \int_0^t G_\nu(t-\tau) f(\tau, x) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

We will use the smooth effect techniques to prove our result. Comparing with the Schrödinger equation, the semigroup of Ginzburg-Landau equation $G_\nu(t)$ dosen't have conjugate symmetry property, this means

$$G_\nu(t) \neq \overline{G_\nu(-t)},$$

we can not use standard TT^* argument to get the smooth effect estimates, maximal function estimates and their relations with the Strichartz estimates for $G_\nu(t)$ and $\mathscr{A}_\nu$. It is known that TT^* method is a basic tool for those estimates in the case $\nu = 0$.

The crucial estimates are the uniform anisotropic global smooth effect estimates for semigroup $G_\nu(t)$ and integral operator $\mathscr{A}_\nu$:

$$\|D^{1/2}_{x_i} G_\nu(t) \Box_k u_0\|_{L^\infty_{x_i} L^2_{(x_j)j \neq i} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \leqslant C\|\Box_k u_0\|_2, \quad |k_i| \geqslant 4, \tag{1.6}$$

$$\|\mathscr{A}_\nu \partial_{x_i} f\|_{L^\infty_{x_i} L^2_{x_j(j\neq i)} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \leqslant C\|f\|_{L^1_{x_i} L^2_{x_j(j\neq i)} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{1.7}$$

where those estimates in the case $\nu = 0$ were established in [25, 33, 37]. The main difficulty arises in the fact that the constant C in (1.6) and (1.7) should be independent of parameter $\nu \geqslant 0$. We also need to show the uniform maximal function estimates for $G_\nu(t)$:

$$\|\Box_k G_\nu(t) u_0\|_{L^2_{x_i} L^\infty_{x_j(j\neq i)} L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \leqslant C\langle k_i\rangle^{1/2} \|\Box_k u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad n \geqslant 3. \tag{1.8}$$

In order to show (1.8), we will use the maximal operator estimates in anisotropic Lebesgue spaces as in [34]. After establishing those uniform estimates, we can use the idea in [37] to carry out the uniform global well posedness of Eq. (1.1). The limit behavior can be shown by using the techniques as in [15].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 and Section 3 we prove the uniform anisotropic global smooth effect estimates, maximal inequality estimates, Strichartz type estimates for semigroup $G_\nu(t)$ and integral operator $\mathscr{A}$. In Section 4 we show the proof of Theorem 1. In Section 5 we show the proof of inviscid limit results. In Sections 6 and 7, we show the proof of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4.

1.2 Notation

In the sequel C will denote a universal positive constant which can be different at each appearance. $x \lesssim y$ (for x, $y > 0$) means that $x \leqslant Cy$, and $x \sim y$ stands for $x \lesssim y$ and $y \lesssim x$. For any $p \in [1, \infty]$, p' denotes the conjugate number of p, i.e., $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. Now we introduce the spaces used in our paper. Let $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be Schwartz space. We will use the Lebesgue spaces $L^p := L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_p := \|\cdot\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and the function spaces $L^q_{t\in I}L^p_x$ and $L^p_x L^q_{t\in I}$ for which the norms are defined by:

$$\|f\|_{L^q_{t\in I}L^p_x} = \big\|\|f\|_{L^p_x}\big\|_{L^q_t(I)}, \quad \|f\|_{L^p_x L^q_{t\in I}} = \Big\|\|f\|_{L^q_t(I)}\Big\|_{L^p_x}.$$

I will be omitted if $I = \mathbb{R}$, i.e., we simply write $L^q_t L^p_x := L^q_{t\in\mathbb{R}}L^p_x$, $L^p_x L^q_t := L^p_x L^q_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ and $L^p_x L^q_T = L^p_x L^q_{t\in[0,T]}$, $L^q_T L^p_x = L^q_{t\in[0,T]}L^p_x$. In high dimension case, we denote by $L^{p_1}_{x_i}L^{p_2}_{(x_j)j\neq i}L^{p_2}_t(I\times\mathbb{R}^n)$ the anisotropic Lebesgue space for which the norm is

$$\|f\|_{L^{p_1}_{x_i}L^{p_2}_{x_j(j\neq i)}L^{p_2}_t(I\times\mathbb{R}^n)} = \Big\|\|f\|_{L^{p_2}_{x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1},x_{i+1},\ldots,x_n}L^{p_2}_t(I\times\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}\Big\|_{L^{p_1}_{x_i}(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{1.9}$$

$D^s_{x_i} = (-\partial^2_{x_i})^{s/2} = \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_i}|\xi_i|^s\mathscr{F}_{x_i}$ denotes the partial Rieze potential in the x_i direction. $\partial^{-1}_{x_i} = \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_i}(i\xi_i)^{-1}\mathscr{F}_{x_i}$. The homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by $(-\Delta)^{-s/2}L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Modulation spaces were first introduced by Feichtinger [9]. We will use an equivalent norm on the modulation space $M^s_{2,1}$:

$$\|f\|_{M^s_{2,1}} = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle k\rangle^s\|\mathscr{F}f\|_{L^2(Q_k)}, \tag{1.10}$$

where $\langle k\rangle = 1 + |k|$, $Q_k = \{\xi : -1/2 \leqslant \xi_i - k_i \leqslant 1/2, i = 1, \ldots, n\}$. Let $\{\sigma_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}$ satisfies:

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_k(\xi) \geqslant c, & \forall\xi\in Q_k;\\ \mathrm{supp}\sigma_k \subset \{\xi : |\xi - k| \leqslant \sqrt{n}\}, & \\ \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\sigma_k(\xi) \equiv 1, & \forall\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n;\\ |D^\alpha\sigma_k(\xi)| \leqslant C_m, & \forall\xi\in\mathbb{R}^n, |\alpha|\leqslant m\in\mathbb{N}. \end{cases} \tag{1.11}$$

Denote

$$\Upsilon = \{\{\sigma_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} : \{\sigma_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \quad \text{satisfies(1.11)}\,\}. \tag{1.12}$$

Let $\{\sigma_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \in \Upsilon$ be a function sequence. Then we can define the frequency-uniform decomposition operators $\Box_k$ as:

$$\Box_k := \mathscr{F}^{-1}\sigma_k\mathscr{F}, \quad k\in\mathbb{Z}^n, \tag{1.13}$$

and we have

$$\|f\|_{M^s_{2,1}} \sim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle k\rangle^s\|\Box_k f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{1.14}$$

Using the operators $\Box_k$, we can equivalently define the modulation space $M^s_{1,1}$ in the following way:

$$\|f\|_{M^s_{1,1}} = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^s \|\Box_k f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{1.15}$$

For simplicity, we use function space $l^{1,s}_{\Box}(L^\nu(\mathbb{R}^+; L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)))$ which contains all of the functions $f(t,x)$ so that the following norm is finite:

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^s \|\Box_k f\|_{L^\nu_t L^r_x(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{1.16}$$

2 Anisotropic Global smooth effect with $\Box_k$-decomposition

In this section, we always denote

$$G_\nu(t) = \mathscr{F}^{-1} e^{-\mathrm{i}t|\xi|^2} \cdot e^{-\nu t|\xi|^2} \mathscr{F}, \quad \mathscr{A} f(t,x) = \int_0^t G_\nu(t-\tau) f(\tau,x) d\tau. \tag{2.1}$$

For convenience, we will use the following function sequence $\{\sigma_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}$:

Lemma 2.1 *Let* $\eta_k : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1] (k \in \mathbb{Z})$ *be a smooth-function sequence satisfying* (1.11). *Denote*

$$\sigma_k(\xi) := \eta_{k_1}(\xi_1)\dots\eta_{k_n}(\xi_n), \quad k = (k_1,\dots,k_n). \tag{2.2}$$

Then we have $\{\sigma_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \in \Upsilon$.

Lemma 2.2 ([37]) *We have for any* $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $k = (k_1,\dots,k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ *with* $|k_i| \geqslant 4$,

$$\|\Box_k D^\sigma_{x_i} u\|_{L^{p_1}_{x_1} L^{p_2}_{x_2,\dots x_n} L^{p_2}_t(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \langle k_i\rangle^\sigma \|\Box_k u\|_{L^{p_1}_{x_1} L^{p_2}_{x_2,\dots x_n} L^{p_2}_t(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{2.3}$$

Replacing $D^\delta_{x_i}$ *by* $\partial^\delta_{x_i} (\delta \in \mathbb{N})$, *the above inequality holds for all* $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

In order to obtain global smooth-effect estimates, we need the following Lemma in the case $n = 1$:

Lemma 2.3 *Let* $n = 1, |k| \geqslant 4$. *Then there exists* $C > 0$, *which is independent of* $\nu > 0$ *such that*

$$\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}_\xi e^{-it\xi^2} e^{-\nu|t|\xi^2} \mathscr{F}_x \Box_k \phi\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})} \leqslant C\langle k\rangle^{-1/2} \|\Box_k \phi\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. We may assume that $k \geqslant 4$. By changing the variable, we have:

$$\mathscr{F}^{-1}_\xi e^{-it\xi^2} e^{-\nu|t|\xi^2} \mathscr{F}_x \Box_k \phi$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\xi^2} e^{-\nu|t|\xi^2} \eta_k(\xi)\hat{\phi}(\xi) e^{\mathrm{i}x\xi} d\xi \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\eta} e^{-\nu|t|\eta} \eta_k(\sqrt{\eta})\hat{\phi}(\sqrt{\eta}) e^{\mathrm{i}x\sqrt{\eta}} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\eta}} d\eta, \qquad (2.5)
\end{aligned}
$$

where η_k was defined in Lemma 2.1.

From Plancherel's identity, Fubini theorem and Young's inequality we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathscr{F}_{\xi}^{-1} e^{-it\xi^2} e^{-\nu|t|\xi^2} \mathscr{F}_x \Box_k \phi\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})} \\
&\leqslant \Big\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathscr{F}_t(e^{-\nu|t|\eta})(\tau+\eta) \Big|\eta_k(\sqrt{\eta})\hat{\phi}(\sqrt{\eta}) e^{\mathrm{i}x\sqrt{\eta}}\Big| \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\eta}} d\eta \Big\|_{L_x^\infty L_\tau^2} \\
&= \Big\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big(\frac{1}{\nu\eta} \frac{1}{1+\left|\frac{\tau+\eta}{\nu\eta}\right|^2}\Big) \Big|\eta_k(\sqrt{\eta})\hat{\phi}(\sqrt{\eta})\Big| \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\eta}} d\eta \Big\|_{L_\tau^2} \\
&\leqslant \Big\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big(\frac{1}{\nu(\langle k\rangle - 1/2)^2} \frac{1}{1+\left|\frac{\tau+\eta}{\nu(\langle k\rangle+1/2)^2}\right|^2}\Big) \Big|\eta_k(\sqrt{\eta})\hat{\phi}(\sqrt{\eta})\Big| \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\eta}} d\eta \Big\|_{L_\tau^2} \qquad (2.6) \\
&\lesssim \Big\|\eta_k(\sqrt{\eta})\hat{\phi}(\sqrt{\tau}) \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\tau}}\Big\|_{L_\tau^2} \Big\|\mathscr{F}_t(e^{-\nu|t|(\langle k\rangle+1/2)^2})(\tau)\Big\|_{L_\tau^1} \\
&\leqslant C\langle k\rangle^{-1/2} \|\Box_k \phi\|_{L_2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used

$$
\Big\|\mathscr{F}_t(e^{-\nu|t|\eta})(\tau)\Big\|_{L_\tau^1} = \int \frac{1}{1+\tau^2} d\tau \leqslant C, \qquad (2.7)
$$

where C is independent of ν and η. In equation (2.6), $|k| \geqslant 4$ is necessary. $\Box$

Lemma 2.4

$$
\sup_{s\geqslant 0} \Big\|\mathscr{F}_{\tau,\xi}^{-1} \frac{\xi}{\xi^2 - i\nu(\xi^2+s)+\tau} \mathscr{F}_{t,x} f\Big\|_{L_x^\infty L_t^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+1})} \leqslant C\|f\|_{L_x^1 L_t^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+1})}. \qquad (2.8)
$$

The constant C in (2.8) *is independent of $\nu > 0$.*

Proof. For convenience, we denote by $\mathscr{F}_{t,x}$, $\mathscr{F}_t$, $\mathscr{F}$ the Fourier transforms on (t,x), t, x, respectively. From Plancherel's identity, we have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathscr{F}_{\xi}^{-1} \frac{\xi}{\tau+\xi^2 - i\nu(\xi^2+s)} \mathscr{F}_{t,x} f\|_{L_\tau^2} \\
&= \Big\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i(x-y)\xi} \frac{\xi}{\tau+\xi^2 - i\nu(\xi^2+s)} (\mathscr{F}_t f)(\tau,y) d\xi dy \Big\|_{L_\tau^2} \\
&:= \Big\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(\tau, x-y)(\mathscr{F}_t f)(\tau,y) dy \Big\|_{L_\tau^2}. \qquad (2.9)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the integral

$$
K(\tau,z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iz\xi} \frac{\xi}{\tau+\xi^2 - i\nu(\xi^2+s)} d\xi \qquad (2.10)
$$

is taken in the P.V. meaning. Now we only need to show that

$$\sup_{s\geqslant 0}\|K(\tau,z)\|_{L^\infty_{\tau,z}}\lesssim 1, \tag{2.11}$$

We only consider case $\tau<0$, (the case $\tau\geqslant 0$ do not contain singular point, so it is easy to handle). For $\tau<0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(\tau,z) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iz\sqrt{-\tau}\eta}\frac{\eta}{1-\eta^2+i\nu(\eta^2+s_1)}d\eta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iz\sqrt{-\tau}\eta}\ \frac{\eta[1-\eta^2-i\nu(\eta^2+s_1)]}{\nu^2(\eta^2+s_1)^2+(1-\eta^2)^2}d\eta, \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

where $s_1=-s/\tau>0$.

Since when $s_1\to 0,\nu\to 0,\eta\to 1$, $K(\tau,z)$ is difficult to handle, we will divide η into different cases: Let $\psi_1,\psi_2,\psi_3\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy $\mathrm{supp}\psi_1\subseteq\{\eta:|\eta|\geqslant 3/2\}$, $\psi_1(-\cdot)=\psi_1(\cdot)$, $\mathrm{supp}\psi_2\subseteq(-2,1/2]$, $\mathrm{supp}\psi_3\subseteq(0,2)$, $\sum_{i=1}^3\psi_i=1$. Define

$$K_i(\tau,z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iz\sqrt{-\tau}\eta}\ \frac{\eta[1-\eta^2-i\nu(\eta^2+s_1)]\psi_i(\eta)}{\nu^2(\eta^2+s_1)^2+(1-\eta^2)^2}d\eta, \qquad i=1,2,3. \tag{2.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(\tau,z) =& \int_{|\eta|\geqslant 3/2} e^{iz\sqrt{-\tau}\eta}\ \frac{\eta}{\nu^2(\eta^2+s_1)^2+(1-\eta^2)^2}d\eta \\ &-(1+i\nu)\int_{|\eta|\geqslant 3/2} e^{iz\sqrt{-\tau}\eta}\ \frac{\eta^3}{\nu^2(\eta^2+s_1)^2+(1-\eta^2)^2}d\eta \\ &-i\int_{|\eta|\geqslant 3/2} e^{iz\sqrt{-\tau}\eta}\ \frac{\nu\eta s_1}{\nu^2(\eta^2+s_1)^2+(1-\eta^2)^2}d\eta \\ :=& K_1^1(\tau,z)+K_1^2(\tau,z)+K_1^3(\tau,z). \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

It is easy to see

$$|K_1^1(\tau,z)|\lesssim\int_{|\eta|\geqslant 3/2}\frac{1}{\eta^3}d\eta\leqslant C. \tag{2.15}$$

From variable changing, we have:

$$|K_1^3(\tau,z)|\leqslant 2\int_{\eta\geqslant 3/2}\frac{\nu s_1\eta}{(\nu s_1)^2+\eta^4}d\eta\leqslant\arctan\eta\Big|_{\eta/\nu s_1}^{\infty}\leqslant C, \tag{2.16}$$

$$|K_1^2(\tau,z)|\lesssim\Big|\int_{|\eta|\geqslant 3/2} e^{iz\sqrt{-\tau}\eta}\ \frac{\eta^3}{\nu^2(\eta^2+s_1)^2+(1-\eta^2)^2}d\eta\Big|. \tag{2.17}$$

We derive $K_1^2(\tau,z)$ into two parts I, II, from (2.16) we have:

$$I=\Big|\int_{3/2\leqslant|\eta|\leqslant 10\sqrt{\nu s_1}} e^{iz\sqrt{-\tau}\eta}\ \frac{\eta^3}{\nu^2(\eta^2+s_1)^2+(1-\eta^2)^2}d\eta\Big|$$

$$\lesssim \Big| \int_{3/2\leqslant|\eta|\leqslant 10\sqrt{\nu s_1}} \frac{\nu s_1 \eta}{(\nu s_1)^2+\eta^4} \leqslant \arctan \eta \Big|_{\eta/\nu s_1}^{\infty} \leqslant C. \tag{2.18}$$

From variable changing, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |II| &= \Big| \int_{\eta\geqslant 10\sqrt{\nu s_1}} \sin(z\sqrt{-\tau}\eta) \frac{1}{(1+\nu^2)\eta + \frac{\nu^2 s_1^2+1}{\eta^3} + \frac{2\nu^2 s_1 - 2}{\eta}} d\eta \Big| \\ &= \Big| \int_{\eta\geqslant 10z\sqrt{-\tau\nu s_1}} \sin(\eta) \frac{1}{(1+\nu^2)\eta + \frac{(z\sqrt{-\tau})^4(\nu^2 s_1^2+1)}{\eta^3} + \frac{(2\nu^2 s_1-2)(z\sqrt{-\tau})^2}{\eta}} d\eta \Big|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Now we prove (2.19)is bounded. Write

$$F(\eta) := \frac{1}{(1+\nu^2)\eta + \frac{(z\sqrt{-\tau})^4(\nu^2 s_1^2+1)}{\eta^3} + \frac{(2\nu^2 s_1-2)(z\sqrt{-\tau})^2}{\eta}}.$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$, when $A' > A > 1/(1+\nu^2)\epsilon$, we have:

$$F(A) \leqslant \epsilon, \quad F(A)' \leqslant \epsilon.$$

Notice that $F(\eta)$ is monotonous decreasing when $\eta \geqslant 10\sqrt{\nu s_1}$ and for any $\eta \in [A, A']$, $\int_A^{A'} sin(\eta) d\eta \leqslant C$. So from the second integral-mean-value theorem, we have:

$$\Big| \int_A^{A'} \sin(\eta) \frac{1}{(1+\nu^2)\eta + \frac{(z\sqrt{-\tau})^4(\nu^2 s_1^2+1)}{\eta^3} + \frac{2\nu^2 s_1 (z\sqrt{-\tau})^2}{\eta}} d\eta \Big| \leqslant C\epsilon. \tag{2.20}$$

Then from the Cauchy convergence theorem, we can get (2.19) is bounded. So

$$\|K_1(\tau, z)\|_{L^\infty_{\tau,z}} \lesssim 1.$$

Notice that $\nu^2(\eta^2+s_1)^2 + (1-\eta|\eta|)^2 \geqslant 3/4$, when $\eta \in (-3/2, 1/2]$, so it is easy to estimate $K_2(\tau, z)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_2(\tau, z)\|_{L^\infty_{\tau,z}} &\leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big| e^{iz\sqrt{-\tau}\eta} \, \frac{\eta[1-\eta^2 - i\nu(\eta^2+s_1)]\psi_2(\eta)}{\nu^2(\eta^2+s_1)^2 + (1-\eta^2)^2} \Big| d\eta \\ &\lesssim \Big| \frac{\eta[1-\eta^2 - i\nu(\eta^2+s_1)]\psi_2(\eta)}{\nu^2(\eta^2+s_1)^2 + (1-\eta^2)^2} \Big| \leqslant C. \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_3(\tau, z) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iz\sqrt{-\tau}\eta} \frac{\eta\psi_3(\eta)}{1-\eta^2 + i\nu(\eta^2+s_1)} d\eta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iz\sqrt{-\tau}\eta} \frac{\eta\psi_3(\eta)}{1-\eta^2} d\eta - \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iz\sqrt{-\tau}\eta} \frac{i\nu\eta(\eta^2+s_1)\psi_3(\eta)}{[1-\eta^2+i\nu(\eta^2+s_1)](1-\eta^2)} d\eta \\ &:= K_3^1(\tau, z) + K_3^2(\tau, z), \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

$$|K_3^1(\tau, z)| = \Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iz\sqrt{-\tau}\eta} \frac{1}{1-\eta} \cdot \frac{\eta\psi_3(\eta)}{1+\eta} \, d\eta \Big| \quad \sim \Big| \Big(sgn * \mathscr{F}^{-1}\Big[\frac{\eta\psi_3(\eta)}{1+\eta}\Big]\Big)(\sqrt{-\tau}z) \Big|, \tag{2.23}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
|K_3^2(\tau,z)| &= \Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iz\sqrt{-\tau}\eta} \frac{1}{1-\eta} \cdot \frac{i\nu\eta(\eta^2+s_1)[1-\eta^2-i\nu(\eta^2+s_1)]\psi_3(\eta)}{[(1-\eta^2)^2+\nu^2(\eta^2+s_1)^2](1+\eta)} d\eta \Big| \\
&\sim \Big| \Big(sgn * \mathscr{F}^{-1}\Big[\frac{i\nu\eta(\eta^2+s_1)[1-\eta^2-i\nu(\eta^2+s_1)]\psi_3(\eta)}{[(1-\eta^2)^2+\nu^2(\eta^2+s_1)^2](1+\eta)} \Big] \Big) (\sqrt{-\tau}z) \Big|. \qquad (2.24)
\end{aligned}
$$

Noticing that

$$
\Big| \frac{i\nu\eta(\eta^2+s_1)[1-\eta^2-i\nu(\eta^2+s_1)]\psi_3(\eta)}{[(1-\eta^2)^2+\nu^2(\eta^2+s_1)^2](1+\eta)} \Big| \leqslant C,
$$

from (2.22)–(2.24), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|K_3(\tau,z)\|_{L^\infty_{\tau,z}} \leqslant & \Big\| \mathscr{F}^{-1}\Big[\frac{\eta\psi_3(\eta)}{1+\eta} \Big] \Big\|_{L_1} \\
&+ \Big\| \mathscr{F}^{-1}\Big[\frac{i\nu\eta(\eta^2+s_1)[1-\eta^2-i\nu(\eta^2+s_1)]\psi_3(\eta)}{[(1-\eta^2)^2+\nu^2(\eta^2+s_1)^2](1+\eta)} \Big] \Big\|_{L_1} \lesssim 1. \qquad (2.25)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that (2.11) holds.

Proposition 2.5 *For any $i = 1, \dots, n$, $|k_i| \geqslant 4$, we have*

$$
\|D^{1/2}_{x_i} \square_k G_\nu(t) u_0\|_{L^\infty_{x_i} L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\square_k u_0\|_2. \qquad (2.26)
$$

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 2.3, we only need to prove that

$$
\|D^{1/2}_{x_i} \square_k G'_\nu(t) u_0\|_{L^\infty_{x_i} L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\square_k u_0\|_2, \qquad (2.27)
$$

where $G_\nu(t)' = \mathscr{F}^{-1} e^{-\mathrm{i}t|\xi|^2 - \nu|t||\xi|^2} \mathscr{F}$. It suffices to show the case $i = 1$. By Plancherel's identity and Minkowski's inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|D^{1/2}_{x_1} G_\nu(t)' \square_k u_0\|_{L^\infty_{x_1} L^2_{x_2\cdots x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \\
&\leqslant \|D^{1/2}_{x_1} \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1} e^{-it\xi_1^2} e^{-\nu|t|\xi_1^2} \mathscr{F}_{x_1}(\mathscr{F}_{x_2,\dots,x_n} \square_k u_0)\|_{L^\infty_{x_1} L^2_{\xi_2\cdots\xi_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \\
&\leqslant \|D^{1/2}_{x_1} \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1} e^{-it\xi_1^2} e^{-\nu|t|\xi_1^2} \mathscr{F}_{x_1}(\mathscr{F}_{x_2,\dots,x_n} \square_k u_0)\|_{L^2_{\xi_2\cdots\xi_n} L^\infty_{x_1} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}.
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of Lemma 2.3 in one spatial dimension, using Plancherel's identity, we immediately obtain (2.27). □

Proposition 2.6 *For any $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $|k_i| \geqslant 4$, we have*

$$
\|\partial_{x_i} \square_k \mathscr{A}_\nu f\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \langle k_i \rangle^{1/2} \|\square_k f\|_{L^1_{x_i} L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}. \qquad (2.28)
$$

Proof. For $|k_i| \geqslant 4$, from Proposition 2.5, (2.26) has the following dual estimate:

$$
\Big\| \square_k D^{1/2}_{x_i} \int_0^t G_\nu(t-\tau) f(\tau) d\tau \Big\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\square_k f\|_{L^1_{x_i} L^2_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}. \qquad (2.29)
$$

Then from Lemma 2.2, which implies (2.28) holds, as desired. □

Proposition 2.7 *For any $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$, there exist $C > 0$, which are independent of $\nu > 0$ such that*

$$\|\Box_k \mathscr{A}_\nu \partial_{x_i} f\|_{L^\infty_{x_i} L^2_{x_{j(j\neq i)}} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \leqslant C \|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_i} L^2_{x_{j(j\neq i)}} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{2.30}$$

Proof. In order to prove (2.30), assume $f(t,x) = 0$ for $t < 0$, so we only need to prove

$$\|\Box_k \mathscr{A}_\nu \partial_{x_1} f\|_{L^\infty_{x_1} L^2_{x_{j(j\neq 1)}} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \leqslant C \|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_1} L^2_{x_{j(j\neq 1)}} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{2.31}$$

We have

$$\partial_{x_1} \mathscr{A}_\nu f = C \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\tau,\xi} \frac{\xi_1}{\xi_1^2 - i\nu(\xi_1^2 + |\bar{\xi}|^2) + \tau + |\bar{\xi}|^2} \mathscr{F}_{t,x} f, \tag{2.32}$$

where we assume that the right hand side of (2.32) is zero as $t = 0$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\partial_{x_1} \mathscr{A}_\nu f\|_{L^\infty_{x_1} L^2_{x_2 \cdots x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \\ &\leqslant \left\| \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1} \frac{\xi_1}{\xi_1^2 - i\nu(\xi_1^2 + |\bar{\xi}|^2) + (\tau + |\bar{\xi}|^2)} \mathscr{F}_{t,x} f \right\|_{L^2_{\xi_2 \cdots \xi_n} L^\infty_{x_1} L^2_\tau(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

Now changing the variable $\tau + |\bar{\xi}|^2 \to \mu$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1} \frac{\xi_1}{\xi_1^2 - i\nu(\xi_1^2 + |\bar{\xi}|^2) + (\tau + |\bar{\xi}|^2)} \mathscr{F}_{t,x} f \right\|_{L^2_{\xi_2 \cdots \xi_n} L^\infty_{x_1} L^2_\tau(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \\ &\leqslant \sup_{s \geqslant 0} \left\| \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1} \frac{\xi_1}{\xi_1^2 - i\nu(\xi_1^2 + s) + \mu} \mathscr{F}_{t,x_1} (e^{\mathrm{i}t|\bar{\xi}|^2} \mathscr{F}_{x_2,\dots,x_n} f) \right\|_{L^2_{\xi_2 \cdots \xi_n} L^\infty_{x_1} L^2_\mu(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

From the uniform smooth effect estimate as in Lemma 2.4,

$$\sup_{s \geqslant 0} \left\| \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\tau,\xi} \frac{\xi}{\xi^2 - i\nu(\xi^2 + s) + \tau} \mathscr{F}_{t,x} f \right\|_{L^\infty_x L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+1})} \leqslant C \|f\|_{L^1_x L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+1})}. \tag{2.35}$$

From (2.33), (2.34) and (2.35), we have that

$$\|\partial_{x_1} \mathscr{A}_\nu f\|_{L^\infty_{x_1} L^2_{x_2 \cdots x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \left\| e^{\mathrm{i}t|\bar{\xi}|^2} \mathscr{F}_{x_2,\dots,x_n} f \right\|_{L^2_{\xi_2,\dots,\xi_n} L^1_{x_1} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \tag{2.36}$$

Using Minkowski's inequality and Plancherel's identity, we immediately have

$$\|\partial_{x_1} \mathscr{A}_\nu f\|_{L^\infty_{x_1} L^2_{x_2 \cdots x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^1_{x_1} L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}. \tag{2.37}$$

Other cases can be shown in a similar way.

Generally, the right hand side in (2.32) is not equal to zero for $t = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{i} \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\tau,\xi} \frac{1}{\tau + |\xi|^2 - i\nu|\xi|^2} \mathscr{F}_{t,x} f \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= -\mathrm{i} \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\tau,\xi} \frac{\tau + |\xi|^2}{(\tau + |\xi|^2)^2 + (\nu|\xi|^2)^2} \mathscr{F}_{t,x} f \Big|_{t=0} + \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\tau,\xi} \frac{\nu|\xi|^2}{(\tau + |\xi|^2)^2 + (\nu|\xi|^2)^2} \mathscr{F}_{t,x} f \Big|_{t=0} \end{aligned}$$

$$:= u_1(0,x) + u_2(0,x). \tag{2.38}$$

Noticing that $\mathscr{F}_t(e^{-\nu|t|\eta})(\tau) = \frac{1}{\nu\eta}\frac{1}{1+\left|\frac{\tau}{\nu\eta}\right|^2}$ and changing the variable, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
u_2(0,x) &= C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\mathrm{i}x\xi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\nu|\xi|^2}{(\tau+|\xi|^2)^2+(\nu|\xi|^2)^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\mathrm{i}\tau s}\hat{f}(s,\xi)\,ds\,d\tau\,d\xi \\
&= C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\mathrm{i}x\xi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(s,\xi)\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{-\mathrm{i}\tau s}\nu|\xi|^2}{(\tau+|\xi|^2)^2+(\nu|\xi|^2)^2}d\tau\,ds\,d\xi \\
&= C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\mathrm{i}x\xi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(s,\xi)\ e^{\mathrm{i}s|\xi|^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{-\mathrm{i}\tau s}\nu|\xi|^2}{\tau^2+(\nu|\xi|^2)^2}d\tau\,ds\,d\xi \\
&= C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\mathrm{i}x\xi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(s,\xi)\ e^{\mathrm{i}s|\xi|^2}e^{-\nu|s||\xi|^2}ds\,d\xi \\
&= C\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_\nu(-s)f(s,x)\,ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

Then from (2.26), (2.39) and (2.29) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Box_k\partial_{x_i}G_\nu(t)u_2(0,x)\|_{L^\infty_{x_i}L^2_{x_j(j\neq i)}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leqslant \|D^{1/2}_{x_i}\Box_k u_2(0,x)\|_{L^2} \\
&\leqslant \|D^{1/2}_{x}\Box_k\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_\nu(-s)f(s,x)\,ds\|_{L^2} \\
&\leqslant \|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_i}L^2_{x_j(j\neq i)}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.40}$$

Noticing that

$$\mathscr{F}_t(\partial_t e^{-|t|}(\nu|\xi|^2\cdot))(\tau) = \frac{1}{\nu|\xi|^2}\mathscr{F}_t(\partial_t e^{-|t|})(\frac{\tau}{\nu|\xi|^2}) = \frac{1}{\nu|\xi|^2}\frac{\tau}{\nu|\xi|^2}\frac{1}{1+(\tau/\nu|\xi|^2)^2} = \frac{\tau}{\tau^2+(\nu|\xi|^2)^2},$$

similar to (2.39), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1(0,x) &= C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\mathrm{i}x\xi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\tau+|\xi|^2}{(\tau+|\xi|^2)^2+(\nu|\xi|^2)^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\mathrm{i}\tau s}\hat{f}(s,\xi)\,ds\,d\tau\,d\xi \\
&= C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\mathrm{i}x\xi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(s,\xi)e^{\mathrm{i}s|\xi|^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{-\mathrm{i}\tau s}\tau}{\tau^2+(\nu|\xi|^2)^2}d\tau\,ds\,d\xi \\
&= C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\mathrm{i}x\xi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(s,\xi)\ e^{\mathrm{i}s|\xi|^2}\mathrm{sgn}(s)e^{-\nu|s||\xi|^2}ds\,d\xi \\
&= C\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_\nu(-s)\mathrm{sgn}(s)f(s,x)\,ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

Then from (2.26), (2.41) and (2.29) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Box_k\partial_{x_i}G_\nu(t)u_1(0,x)\|_{L^\infty_{x_i}L^2_{x_j(j\neq i)}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leqslant \|D^{1/2}_{x_i}\Box_k u_1(0,x)\|_{L^2} \\
&\leqslant \left\|D^{1/2}_{x_i}\Box_k\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_\nu(-s)\mathrm{sgn}(s)f(s,x)\,ds\right\|_{L^2} \\
&\leqslant \|\Box_k[\mathrm{sgn}(s)f(s,\xi)]\|_{L^1_{x_i}L^2_{x_j(j\neq i)}L^2_s(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\leqslant \|\Box_k f(s,\xi)\|_{L^1_{x_i}L^2_{x_j(j\neq i)}L^2_s(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.42}$$

Collecting (2.42), (2.40), we can obtain the result, as desired. □

3 Other estimates with $\square_k$-decomposition

In this section, we consider the Strichartz estimates, the maximal function estimates and derivative interaction estimates for the solutions of Ginzburg-Laundau equation by using the frequency-uniform decomposition operators.

Using Lemma 3.5 and the property of frequency-uniform decomposition operators (cf.[39]), we can establish the following Strichartz estimates in a class of function spaces by using the frequency-uniform decomposition operators.

Proposition 3.1 *Let* $2 \leqslant r < \infty$, $q > \nu \geqslant 2 \vee \nu(r)$, *then we have*

$$\|G_\nu(t)f\|_{l^1_\square(L^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+;L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)))} \leqslant C\|f\|_{M_{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\|\mathscr{A}_\nu f\|_{l^1_\square(L^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+;L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)))\bigcap l^1_\square(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+;L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)))} \leqslant C\|f\|_{l^1_\square(L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}_+;L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)))}. \tag{3.2}$$

Proposition 3.2 *Let* $2 \leqslant r \leqslant \infty$, $2/\nu(r) = n(1/2 - 1/r)$, $q > \nu > \nu(r) \vee 2$, *we have*

$$\|\square_k G_\nu u_0\|_{L^\nu_t(\mathbb{R}^+;L^r_x(\mathbb{R}^n))} \lesssim \|\square_k u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\|\square_k \mathscr{A}_\nu f\|_{L^\nu_t(\mathbb{R}^+;L^r_x(\mathbb{R}^n))\bigcap L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^+;L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^n))} \lesssim C\|\square_k f\|_{L^{q'}_t(\mathbb{R}^+;L^{q'}_x(\mathbb{R}^n))}. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Proposition 3.1 implies (3.3) and (3.4) directly. □

Define the semigroup of Schrödinger equation

$$S(t) = \mathscr{F}^{-1}e^{-\mathrm{i}t\sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j^2}\mathscr{F}. \tag{3.5}$$

Proposition 3.3 $\square_k G_\nu(t) : L^p \to L^p$ *is uniformly bounded. More precisely,*

$$\|\square_k G_\nu(t)u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim (1 + |t|^{n/2})\|\square_k u_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \tag{3.6}$$

uniformly holds for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\nu \geqslant 0$, $p \geqslant 1$.

Proof. It is well known that $e^{-|\xi|^2}$ is a multiplier on L^p, i.e., $e^{-|\xi|^2} \in M_p$ (M_p denotes Hörmander's multiplier space, see [1]). Since M_p is isometrically invariant under affine transformations of $\mathbb{R}^n$, we have $\|e^{-|\xi|^2}\|_{M_p} = \|e^{-\nu t|\xi|^2}\|_{M_p}$, $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\square_k G_\nu(t)f\|_p \lesssim \|\square_k S(t)f\|_p &\leqslant \sum_{|\ell|_\infty \leqslant 1} \|\mathscr{F}^{-1}\sigma_{k+\ell}e^{\mathrm{i}t|\xi|^2}\sigma_k \hat{f}\|_p \\ &\leqslant \sum_{|\ell|_\infty \leqslant 1} \|\mathscr{F}^{-1}(\sigma_{k+\ell}e^{\mathrm{i}t|\xi|^2})\|_1 \|\square_k f\|_p. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

So, it suffices to show that $\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}(\sigma_k e^{\mathrm{i}t|\xi|^2})\|_1$ is uniformly bounded.

$$\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}(\sigma_k e^{\mathrm{i}t|\xi|^2})\|_1 = \|\mathscr{F}^{-1}(\sigma_0 e^{\mathrm{i}t|\xi|^2})\|_1$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \|\sigma_0\|_2^{1-n/2L} \sum_{|\alpha|=L} \|D^\alpha(\sigma_0 e^{\mathrm{i}t|\xi|^2})\|_2^{n/2L} \\
&\lesssim (1+|t|^{n/2}). \qquad (3.8)
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have the result, as desired. □

[37] shows that $S(t)$ has the following maximal function estimate:

Lemma 3.4 ([37]) *Let $4/n < p \leqslant \infty$, $p \geqslant 2$, $S(t)$ is defined as (3.5), then we have*

$$
\|\Box_k S(t) u_0\|_{L^p_{x_i} L^\infty_{x_j(j\neq i)} L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \leqslant C\langle k_i\rangle^{1/p} \|\Box_k u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \qquad (3.9)
$$

Lemma 3.5 *Define maximal operator M as following:*

$$
(Mf)(x) = \sup_{r>0} c_n r^{-n} \int_{|y|<r} |f(x-y)| dy.
$$

Let ϕ satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi \, dx = 1$, then for any f, $f \in L_p$, $1 < p \leqslant \infty$, we have

$$
\sup_{t>0} |f * \phi_t(x)| \leqslant Mf(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi dx \qquad (3.10)
$$

$$
\|Mf\|_{L_p} \leqslant C\|f\|_{L_p}. \qquad (3.11)
$$

Where $\phi_t(x) = t^{-1}\phi(x/t)$.

The proof can be found in [34], Page 51, [35], Page 3.

Proposition 3.6 *Let $4/n < p \leqslant \infty$, $p \geqslant 2$, we have*

$$
\|\Box_k G_\nu(t) u_0\|_{L^p_{x_i} L^\infty_{x_j(j\neq i)} L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \leqslant C\langle k_i\rangle^{1/p} \|\Box_k u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \qquad (3.12)
$$

Proof. Take $i = 1$ for example. When $t = 0$, (3.12) holds obviously. For $t > 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Box_k G_\nu(t) u_0 &= \mathscr{F}^{-1}(e^{-\nu t|\xi|^2}) * \mathscr{F}^{-1}(e^{-\mathrm{i}t|\xi|^2}\widehat{\Box_k u_0}) \\
&= [\mathscr{F}^{-1}(e^{-|\xi|^2/2})]_{\sqrt{2\nu t}} * \mathscr{F}^{-1}(e^{-\mathrm{i}t|\xi|^2}\widehat{\Box_k u_0}). \qquad (3.13)
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that $\mathscr{F}^{-1}(e^{-|\xi|^2/2}) = e^{-|x|^2/2}$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-|x|^2/2} dx = C$, then from (3.10), (3.13) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\Box_k G_\nu(t) u_0\|_{L^p_{x_1} L^\infty_{x_j(j\neq 1)}(\mathbb{R}^n) L^\infty_{t\in(0,\infty)}} \\
&\leqslant \|[\mathscr{F}^{-1}(e^{-|\xi|^2/2})]_{\sqrt{2\nu t}} * \mathscr{F}^{-1}(e^{-\mathrm{i}t'|\xi|^2}\widehat{\Box_k u_0})\|_{L^p_{x_i} L^\infty_{x_j(j\neq i)}(\mathbb{R}^n) L^\infty_{t'\in(0,\infty)} L^\infty_{t\in(0,\infty)}} \\
&\leqslant \|M[\mathscr{F}^{-1}(e^{-\mathrm{i}t|\xi|^2}\widehat{\Box_k u_0})]\|_{L^p_{x_1} L^\infty_{x_j(j\neq 1)}(\times\mathbb{R}^n) L^\infty_{t\in(0,\infty)}}. \qquad (3.14)
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $M_{x_1}, M_{\bar{x}}$ were the maximal operators for variable x_1 and the other varibles:

$$
(M_{x_1} f)(x_1, \bar{x}) = \sup_{r>0} c_1 r^{-1} \int_{|y_1|<r} |f(x_1 - y_1, \bar{x})| dy_1,
$$

$$(M_{\bar{x}}f)(x_1,\bar{x}) = \sup_{r>0} c_{n-1}r^{-(n-1)} \int_{|\bar{y}|<r} |f(x_1, x_2-y_2,\dots,x_n-y_n)|d\bar{y}.$$

From the definition of maximal operators and Lemma 3.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|Mf(x_1,\bar{x})\|_{L^p_{x_1}L^\infty_{\bar{x}}} \leqslant \big\|M_{x_1}\|M_{\bar{x}}f(x_1,\bar{x})\|_{L^\infty_{\bar{x}}}\big\|_{L^p_{x_1}} &\leqslant \big\|M_{x_1}\|f(x_1,\bar{x})\|_{L^\infty_{\bar{x}}}\big\|_{L^p_{x_1}} \\ &\leqslant \|f\|_{L^p_{x_1}L^\infty_{\bar{x}}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where $p \geqslant 2$. From Lemma 3.4 and (3.15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|M[\mathscr{F}^{-1}(e^{-\mathrm{i}t|\xi|^2}\mathscr{F}(\Box_k u_0))]\|_{L^p_{x_1}L^\infty_{x_j(j\neq1)}L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leqslant C\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}(e^{-\mathrm{i}t|\xi|^2}\mathscr{F}(\Box_k u_0))\|_{L^p_{x_1}L^\infty_{x_j(j\neq1)}L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leqslant C\langle k_1\rangle^{1/p}\|\Box_k u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the result, as desired. □

Proposition 3.7 *For $n = 1, 2$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\Box_k G_\nu(t)u_0\|_{L^2_xL^\infty_T} \leqslant C\langle k\rangle^{1/2}\ln 4\langle T\rangle\|\Box_k u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}, \quad n=1; \\ &\|\Box_k G_\nu(t)u_0\|_{L^2_{x_i}L^\infty_{x_j(j\neq i)}L^\infty_T(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \langle k_i\rangle^{1/2}\|\Box_k u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad n=2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We take $i = 1$ for example.

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\Box_k G_\nu(t)u_0\|_{L^2_{x_1}L^\infty_{x_j(j\neq1)}L^\infty_T(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= \|\mathscr{F}^{-1}_\xi e^{-it|\xi|^2}e^{-\nu|t||\xi|^2}\tilde{\sigma}_k(\xi) * \mathscr{F}^{-1}_\xi\sigma_k(\xi)\widehat{u_0}\|_{L^2_{x_1}L^\infty_{x_j(j\neq1)}L^\infty_T(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leqslant \|\mathscr{F}^{-1}_\xi e^{-it|\xi|^2}e^{-\nu|t||\xi|^2}\tilde{\sigma}_k(\xi)\|_{L^2_{x_1}L^\infty_{x_j(j\neq1)}L^\infty_T(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\Box_k u_0\|_{L^1_{x_1}L^1_{x_j(j\neq1)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Where $\tilde{\sigma}_k(\xi) = \sum_{|l-k|<C(n)}\sigma_l(\xi)$. For brevity, we still write $\tilde{\sigma}_k$ as σ_k. Now we estimate $\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}_\xi e^{-it|\xi|^2}e^{-\nu|t||\xi|^2}\sigma_k(\xi)\|_{L^2_{x_1}L^\infty_{x_j(j\neq1)}L^\infty_T(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. First, consider the basic $L^p - L^{p'}$ estimates for the semigroup of DGL equation $G_\nu(t) = \mathscr{F}^{-1}e^{-\mathrm{i}t|\xi|^2}\cdot e^{-\nu t|\xi|^2}\mathscr{F}$. We have

$$\|G_\nu(t)\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|S(t)\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim Ct^{-n/2}\|\varphi\|_{L^1}, \tag{3.17}$$

and so,

$$\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}_\xi e^{-\mathrm{i}t|\xi|^2}e^{-\nu t|\xi|^2}\eta_{k_1}(\xi_1)\eta_{\bar{k}}(\bar{\xi})\|_{L^\infty_x(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leqslant C(1+|t|)^{-n/2}. \tag{3.18}$$

On the other hand, using oscillatory integral techniques, we have

$$\mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1}e^{-\mathrm{i}t\xi_1^2}e^{-\nu t\xi_1^2}\eta_{k_1}(\xi_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\mathrm{i}x_1(\xi_1+\frac{t\xi_1^2}{x_1})}e^{-\nu t\xi_1^2}\eta_{k_1}(\xi_1)d\xi_1$$

$$:= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\mathrm{i}x_1\phi(\xi_1)}\psi(\xi_1)d\xi_1,$$

where $\phi(\xi_1) = \xi_1 + \frac{t\xi_1^2}{x_1}$, $\psi(\xi_1) = e^{-\nu t\xi_1^2}\eta_{k_1}(\xi_1)$. When $|x_1| > 4\langle k_1\rangle|t| \vee 1$, we obtain $|\phi(\xi)'| > 1/2$. Meanwhile, it is easy to see

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi(\xi_1)|d\xi_1 \leqslant C, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi'(\xi_1)|d\xi_1 \leqslant C, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi''(\xi_1)|d\xi_1 \leqslant C.$$

C is independent of ν, t and k_1. So integrating by part, we obtain

$$|\mathscr{F}_{\xi_1}^{-1} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\xi_1^2} e^{-\nu t\xi_1^2}\eta_{k_1}(\xi_1)| \lesssim (1+|x_1|)^{-2}. \tag{3.19}$$

from (3.18), (3.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathscr{F}^{-1} e^{-\mathrm{i}t|\xi|^2}\eta_{k_1}(\xi_1)\eta_{\bar{k}}(\bar{\xi})\|_{L^2_{x_1} L^\infty_{\bar{x}} L^\infty_T(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\leqslant \Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+|x|)^{-4} dx_1\Big]^{1/2} + \Big[\int_{|x_1|\leqslant 4\langle k_1\rangle T} \langle k_1\rangle^n (\langle k_1\rangle + |x_1|)^{-n} dx_1\Big]^{1/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

The result follows. □

Using similar method as in Proposition 3.8, we have

Remark 3.8 *For* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, *we have*

$$\|\Box_k G_\nu(t)u_0\|_{L^1_{x_i} L^\infty_{x_j(j\neq i)} L^\infty_T(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leqslant C \begin{cases} \langle k_i\rangle\langle 4T\rangle^{1/2}\|\Box_k u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}, & n=1; \\ \langle k_i\rangle \ln\langle 4T\rangle \|\Box_k u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}, & n=2; \\ \langle k_i\rangle \|\Box_k u_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}, & n \geqslant 3. \end{cases}$$

Next, we consider the estimates between time-space norm and space-time norm for integral operators $\mathscr{A}$. Since the semigroup of Ginzburg-Landau equation does not have conjugate symmetry property as Schrödinger equation, we can not apply TT^* argument to obtain some good estimates as those of the Schrödinger equation, see [37].

Proposition 3.9 *Let* $2 \leqslant q < \infty$, $q > 4/n$, $\lambda = 0, 1$, *we have*

$$\|\Box_k \mathscr{A}_\nu \partial^\lambda_{x_i} f\|_{L^2_{x_i} L^\infty_{x_j(j\neq i)} L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \langle k_i\rangle^{\lambda+1/2}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_t(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^n))}, \tag{3.21}$$

$$\|\Box_k \mathscr{A}_\nu \partial_{x_i} f\|_{L^\infty_{x_i} L^2_{x_j(j\neq i)} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \langle k_i\rangle^{1/2}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_t(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^n))}, \tag{3.22}$$

where in (3.21), *condition* $|k_i| > 4$ *is required.*

Proof. From (3.12), (2.26), Lemma 2.2 and Minkowski's inequality we have (3.21), (3.22) hold, as desired. □

Similar to Proposition 3.9, from Proposition3.8, we have

Proposition 3.10 *For $n = 1, 2$, $T \leqslant 1$, we have*

$$\|\Box_k \mathscr{A}_\nu \partial_{x_i} f\|_{L^2_{x_i} L^\infty_{x_j(j\neq i)} L^\infty_T(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \langle k_i\rangle^{3/2} \|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_T L^1_x(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{3.23}$$

Remark 3.11 *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\|\Box_k \mathscr{A}_\nu \partial_{x_i} f\|_{L^1_{x_i} L^\infty_{x_j(j\neq i)} L^\infty_T(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leqslant C \begin{cases} \langle k_i\rangle^2 \langle 4T\rangle^{1/2} \|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_T L^1_x(\mathbb{R}^n)}, & n\text{=1;} \\ \langle k_i\rangle^2 \ln\langle 4T\rangle \|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_T L^1_x(\mathbb{R}^n)}, & n\text{=2;} \\ \langle k_i\rangle^2 \|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_T L^1_x(\mathbb{R}^n)}, & n\text{=3.} \end{cases}$$

From Propostions 3.9, 2.6 and 2.7, we can obtain the following derivative interaction estimates:

Lemma 3.12 *Let $i = 2, \ldots, n$, we have*

$$\|\Box_k \mathscr{A}_\nu \partial_{x_i} f\|_{L^\infty_{x_1} L^2_{x_2,\ldots,x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \leqslant C \|\partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_1}^{-1} \Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_1} L^2_{x_2,\ldots,x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{3.24}$$

$$\|\Box_k \mathscr{A}_\nu \partial_{x_i} f\|_{L^\infty_{x_1} L^2_{x_2,\ldots,x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \leqslant C \|\partial_{x_i} D_{x_1}^{-1/2} \Box_k f\|_{L^1_t(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^n))}, \tag{3.25}$$

$$\|\Box_k \mathscr{A}_\nu \partial_{x_i} f\|_{L^2_{x_1} L^\infty_{x_2,\ldots,x_n} L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \leqslant C \langle k_i\rangle \langle k_1\rangle^{1/2} \|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_t(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^n))}. \tag{3.26}$$

Since the smooth-effect estimates for Ginzburg-Landau equation (2.30) is almost the same with the Schrödinger equation (see [37]). Follow the same method as [37], we have

Lemma 3.13 *Let $\psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$ be a smooth bump function satisfying $\psi(x) = 1$ as $|x| \leqslant 1$ and $\psi(x) = 0$ if $|x| \leqslant 2$. Denote $\psi_1(\xi) = \psi(\xi_2/2\xi_1)$, $\psi_2(\xi) = 1 - \psi(\xi_2/2\xi_1)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then we have for $\sigma \geqslant 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n, |k_1|>4} \langle k_1\rangle^\sigma \|\mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1,\xi_2} \psi_1 \mathscr{F}_{x_1,x_2} \Box_k \partial_{x_2} \mathscr{A}_\nu f\|_{L^\infty_{x_1} L^2_{x_2,\ldots,x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n, |k_1|>4} \langle k_1\rangle^\sigma \|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_1} L^2_{x_2,\ldots,x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

and for $\sigma \geqslant 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n, |k_1|>4} \langle k_1\rangle^\sigma \|\mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1,\xi_2} \psi_2 \mathscr{F}_{x_1,x_2} \Box_k \partial_{x_2} \mathscr{A}_\nu f\|_{L^\infty_{x_1} L^2_{x_2,\ldots,x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n, |k_2|>4} \langle k_2\rangle^\sigma \|\Box_k f\|_{L^1_{x_1} L^2_{x_2,\ldots,x_n} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

4 Global well-posedness results for $n \geqslant 3$

In this section, we will give the details of the proof of Theorem 1.1. Define

$$\rho_1(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n, |k_i|>4} \langle k_i\rangle^{s-1/2} \|\Box_k u\|_{L^\infty_{x_i} L^2_{(x_j)j\neq i} L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_1^i(u)$$

$$\rho_2(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \|\Box_k u\|_{L^2_{x_i} L^\infty_{(x_j)_{j\neq i}} L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

$$\rho_3(u) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{s-3/2} \|\Box_k u\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x \cap L^3_t L^6_x(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

Define resolution space as following:

$$X_s := \{u \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n) : \|u\|_{X_s} := \sum_{l=1}^{3} \sum_{\lambda=0,1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \rho_l(\partial^\lambda_{x_j} u) \leqslant \delta_0\} \tag{4.1}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Using Lemma A.1, we have for any $s > 3$, there exist $\theta, \theta' > 0$ such that

$$\|u_0\|_{M^3_{2,1}} \leqslant C\|u_0\|^{1-\theta'}_{M^s_{2,1}} \|u_0\|^{\theta}_{L^2}. \tag{4.2}$$

With the conditions that $u_0 \in M^s_{2,1}$, $\|u_0\|_{L^2}$ small enough, we can obtain $u_0 \in M^3_{2,1}$ and $\|u_0\|_{M^3_{2,1}}$ sufficiently small.

We only prove the result for the case $s = 3$, we write $X_3 = X$ for short. Considering the following mapping:

$$\mathscr{T} : u(t) \to G_\nu(t)u_0 - i\mathscr{A}[\overrightarrow{\lambda_1}\cdot\nabla(|u|^2u) + (\overrightarrow{\lambda_2}\cdot\nabla u)|u|^2 + \alpha|u|^{2\delta}u],$$

from (2.26), (3.12), (3.3) and Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\rho_1(\partial^\lambda_{x_j} G_\nu(t)u_0) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n, |k_i|>4} \langle k_i\rangle^2 \langle k_j\rangle^\lambda \|\Box_k u_0\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{M^3_{2,1}},$$

$$\rho_2(\partial^\lambda_{x_j} G_\nu(t)u_0) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k_i\rangle^{1/2} \langle k_j\rangle^\lambda \|\Box_k u_0\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{M^{3/2}_{2,1}},$$

$$\rho_3(\partial^\lambda_{x_j} G_\nu(t)u_0) \leqslant \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{3/2} \langle k_j\rangle^\lambda \|\Box_k u_0\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{M^{5/2}_{2,1}}.$$

So, we obtain that

$$\|G_\nu(t)u_0\|_X \lesssim \|u_0\|_{M^3_{2,1}}. \tag{4.3}$$

For the estimate of the nonlinear terms, noticing that

$$\overrightarrow{\lambda_1}\cdot\nabla(|u|^2u) + (\overrightarrow{\lambda_2}\cdot\nabla u)|u|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\lambda^i_1(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2 + (2\lambda^i_1 + \lambda^i_2)(\partial_{x_i}u)u\bar{u}],$$

and $\|u\|_X = \|\bar{u}\|_X$, we only need to estimate $\|\mathscr{A}_\nu(\lambda^i_1(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2)\|_X$ and $\|\mathscr{A}_\nu(\alpha|u|^{2\delta}u)\|_X$.

Lemma 4.1 ([40], Lemma 7.2) *Let $s \geqslant 0, p \geqslant 1, \quad p_i, \gamma, \gamma_i \leqslant \infty$ satisfy*

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \ldots + \frac{1}{p_N}, \quad \frac{1}{\gamma} = \frac{1}{\gamma_1} + \ldots + \frac{1}{\gamma_N},$$

then we have

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle k\rangle^s\|\Box_k(u_1\dots u_N)\|_{L_t^\gamma L_x^p(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\lesssim\prod_{i=1}^N\Big(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle k\rangle^s\|\Box_k u_i\|_{L_t^{\gamma_i}L_x^{p_i}(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})}\Big). \tag{4.4}$$

From (3.21), Lemma 4.1 and Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_2(\partial_{x_j}^\lambda(\mathscr{A}_\nu(\lambda_1^i(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2)))\\
&\lesssim\sum_{l=1}^n\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\|\Box_k\partial_{x_j}^\lambda(\mathscr{A}_\nu(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2)\|_{L^2_{x_l}L^\infty_{(x_m)m\neq l}L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\lesssim n\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle k_j\rangle^\lambda\langle k\rangle^{1/2}\|\Box_k((\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2)\|_{L_t^1L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\lesssim n\Big[\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle k\rangle^{3/2}\|\Box_k(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})\|_{L_t^3L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\times\big(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle k\rangle^{3/2}\|\Box_k u\|_{L_t^3L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\big)^2\Big]\\
&\lesssim n\rho_3(\partial_{x_i}u)\rho_3(u)^2
\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

Noticing that $\|\Box_k u\|_{L_t^{k+1}L_x^{2(k+1)}(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\lesssim\|\Box_k u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2\cap L_t^3L_x^6(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}$, follow the same process as (4.5), we have

$$\rho_2(\partial_{x_j}^\lambda\mathscr{A}_\nu(\alpha u^{\delta+1}\bar{u}^\delta))\lesssim\rho_3(u)^{2\delta+1} \tag{4.6}$$

From (4.5), (4.6), we obtain

$$\rho_2\big[\partial_{x_j}^\lambda\mathscr{A}_\nu(\lambda_1^i(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2+\alpha|u|^{2\delta}u)\big]\lesssim\|u\|_X^3+\|u\|_X^{2\delta+1}. \tag{4.7}$$

Next, denote

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{S}_{\ell,1}^{(i)}&:=\{(k^{(1)},\dots,k^{(\ell+1)})\in(\mathbb{Z}^n)^{(\ell+1)}:|k_i^1|\vee\dots\vee|k_i^{(\ell+1)}|>4\},\\
\mathbb{S}_{\ell,2}^{(i)}&:=\{(k^{(1)},\dots,k^{(\ell+1)})\in(\mathbb{Z}^n)^{(\ell+1)}:|k_i^1|\vee\dots\vee|k_i^{(\ell+1)}|\leqslant 4\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the frequency-uniform decomposition, we have

$$\prod_{r=1}^{\ell+1}u_r=\sum_{\mathbb{S}_{\ell,1}^{(i)}}\Box_{k^{(1)}}u_1\dots\Box_{k^{(\ell+1)}}u_{\ell+1}+\sum_{\mathbb{S}_{\ell,2}^{(i)}}\Box_{k^{(1)}}u_1\dots\Box_{k^{(\ell+1)}}u_{\ell+1}. \tag{4.8}$$

Where we divide $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^{(\ell+1)}$ into two parts $\mathbb{S}_{\ell,1}^{(i)}$ and $\mathbb{S}_{\ell,2}^{(i)}$ by considering variable x_i. $\mathbb{S}_{\ell,1}^{(i)}$ denotes the high frequency part, so we will apply smooth effect estimates; while $\mathbb{S}_{\ell,2}^{(i)}$ denotes the low frequency part, we will apply Strichartz-type estimates.

Now we estimate $\rho_1(\partial_{x_j}^\lambda(\mathscr{A}_\nu\lambda_1^i(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2)$. It suffices to show that

$$\rho_1(\partial_{x_j}^\lambda\mathscr{A}_\nu(\lambda_1^i(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2))\lesssim\|u\|_X^3,\quad j=1,2.$$

The other cases are almost the same. For the estimates of $\rho_1^1(\partial_{x_j}^\lambda(\mathscr{A}_\nu\lambda_1^i(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2))$ are similar to the proof in [37], we leave the details of the proof into Appendix A.

collecting (A.1)–(A.6), from symmetry, we can obtain that

$$\sum_{\lambda=0,1}\sum_{j=1}^n \rho_1(\partial_{x_j}^\lambda\mathscr{A}_\nu(\lambda_1^i(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2)) \leqslant \|u\|_X^3. \tag{4.9}$$

The estimate of $\rho_1(\partial_{x_j}^\lambda\mathscr{A}_\nu(\alpha|u|^{2\delta}u))$ is similar to $\rho_1(\partial_{x_j}^\lambda\mathscr{A}_\nu((\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2))$, but in (A.2), we will apply

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\square_{k^{(1)}}u\dots\square_{k^{(2\sigma+1)}}u\|_{L^1_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\lesssim \|\square_{k^{(1)}}u\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\prod_{i=2}^{2\sigma+1}\|\square_{k^{(i)}}u\|_{L^2_{x_1}L^\infty_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^\infty_t\cap L^\infty_t L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

In (A.3), we will apply

$$\|\square_k u\|_{L^p_t L^{2p}_x(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\square_k u\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x\cap L^3_t L^6_x(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad p\geqslant 3. \tag{4.11}$$

Finally, we consider the estimate of $\rho_3(\partial_{x_j}^\lambda\mathscr{A}_\nu(\lambda_1^i(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2))$. From Lemma 2.2 and (3.4) (where we let $\nu=3, r=6, q=2\sigma+2$, $\sigma\geqslant 1$). we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\square_k\partial_{x_j}^\lambda\mathscr{A}_\nu(\lambda_1^i(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2)\|_{L^\infty_t L^2_x\cap L^3_t L^6_x(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \|\square_k(\partial_{x_j}^\lambda(\lambda_1^i(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2))\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{2\sigma+2}{2\sigma+1}}(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\lesssim \langle k_j\rangle^\lambda\|\square_k((\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2)\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{2\sigma+2}{2\sigma+1}}(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Let $\sigma=1$ in (4.12), applying Young's inequality we have:

$$\begin{aligned}&\rho_3(\partial_{x_j}^\lambda\mathscr{A}_\nu(\lambda_1^i(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2))\\ &\lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle k\rangle^{3/2}\langle k_j\rangle^\lambda\sum_{k^{(1)},k^{(2)},k^{(3)}}\|\square_k(\square_{k^{(1)}}(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})\square_{k^{(2)}}u\square_{k^{(3)}}u)\|_{L_{t,x}^{4/3}(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}(\langle k\rangle^{5/2}+\langle k_j\rangle^{5/2})\sum_{k^{(1)},k^{(2)},k^{(3)}}\|\square_k(\square_{k^{(1)}}(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})\square_{k^{(2)}}u\square_{k^{(3)}}u)\|_{L_{t,x}^{4/3}(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^n\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle k_j\rangle^{5/2}\sum_{k^{(1)},k^{(2)},k^{(3)}}\|\square_k(\square_{k^{(1)}}(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})\square_{k^{(2)}}u\square_{k^{(3)}}u)\|_{L_{t,x}^{4/3}(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\end{aligned}$$

Then applying the decomposition (4.8)(where we consider the variable x_j), and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle k_j\rangle^{5/2}\sum_{k^{(1)},k^{(2)},k^{(3)}}\|\square_k(\square_{k^{(1)}}(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})\square_{k^{(2)}}u\square_{k^{(3)}}u)\|_{L_{t,x}^{4/3}(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,|k_j|>4}\langle k_j\rangle^{5/2}\sum_{\mathbb{S}_{2,1}^{(j)}}\|\square_k(\square_{k^{(1)}}(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})\square_{k^{(2)}}u\square_{k^{(3)}}u)\|_{L_{t,x}^{4/3}(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,|k_j|>4}\langle k_j\rangle^{5/2}\sum_{\mathbb{S}^{(j)}_{2,2}}\|\Box_k(\Box_{k^{(1)}}(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u)\|_{L^{4/3}_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&+\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,|k_j|\leqslant 4}\langle k_j\rangle^{5/2}\sum_{k^{(1)},k^{(2)},k^{(3)}\in\mathbb{Z}^3}\|\Box_k(\Box_{k^{(1)}}(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u)\|_{L^{4/3}_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&=V+VI+VII. \qquad (4.13)
\end{aligned}
$$

For the term VI, VII, from definition of $\mathbb{S}^{(j)}_{2,2}$, we can see $\langle k_j\rangle\leqslant C$. Follow the same process of (A.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&VI+VII\\
&\lesssim\sum_{k^{(1)},k^{(2)},k^{(3)}\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\|\Box_{k^{(1)}}(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u\|_{L^{4/3}_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\lesssim\sum_{k^{(1)},k^{(2)},k^{(3)}\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\|\Box_{k^{(1)}}\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}\|_{L^4_tL^4_x(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\|_{L^4_tL^4_x(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\Box_{k^{(3)}}u\|_{L^4_tL^4_x(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\lesssim\sum_{k^{(1)}\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle k^{(1)}\rangle^{3/2}\|\Box_{k^{(1)}}\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}\|_{L^4_tL^4_x(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big(\sum_{k^{(2)}\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle k^{(2)}\rangle^{3/2}\|\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\|_{L^4_tL^4_x(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^2\\
&\lesssim\rho_3(\partial_{x_i}u)\rho_3(u)^2. \qquad (4.14)
\end{aligned}
$$

At the last step of (4.14), we apply

$$
\|\Box_k u\|_{L^p_tL^p_x(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\lesssim\|\Box_k u\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x\cap L^3_tL^6_x(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)},\quad p\geqslant 4. \qquad (4.15)
$$

Now, we consider the estimate of V, from Hölder's inequality and (4.11), we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\Box_{k^{(1)}}(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u\|_{L^{4/3}_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\leqslant\|\Box_{k^{(1)}}(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})|\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u|^{1/2}\|_{L^2_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\||\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u|^{1/2}\|_{L^4_{t,x}(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\leqslant\|\Box_{k^{(1)}}(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})\|_{L^\infty_{x_j}L^2_{x_r(r\neq j)}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\|^{1/2}_{L^2_{x_j}L^\infty_{x_r(r\neq j)}L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\Box_{k^{(3)}}u\|^{1/2}_{L^2_{x_j}L^\infty_{x_r(r\neq j)}L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\quad\times\|\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\|^{1/2}_{L^\infty_tL^2_x\cap L^3_tL^6_x(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\Box_{k^{(3)}}u\|^{1/2}_{L^\infty_tL^2_x\cap L^3_tL^6_x(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}. \qquad (4.16)
\end{aligned}
$$

In this way the estimate of V reduces to the estimate of I as in (A.2). Since $|k_j-k_j^{(1)}-k_j^{(2)}-k_j^{(3)}|\leqslant C$, without loss of generality, we can assume $|k_j^{(1)}|=\max_{r=1,2,3}|k_j^r|$, from (4.16) and Hölder's inequality we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
V\lesssim&\sum_{k^{(1)}\in\mathbb{Z}^n,|k_j^{(1)}|>4}\langle k_j^{(1)}\rangle^{5/2}\|\Box_{k^{(1)}}\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}\|_{L^\infty_{x_j}L^2_{x_r(r\neq j)}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\times\sum_{k^{(2)}\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\Big(\|\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\|_{L^2_{x_j}L^\infty_{x_r(r\neq j)}L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}+\|\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x\cap L^3_tL^6_x(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)\\
&\times\sum_{k^{(3)}\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\Big(\|\Box_{k^{(3)}}u\|_{L^2_{x_j}L^\infty_{x_r(r\neq j)}L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}+\|\Box_{k^{(3)}}u\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x\cap L^3_tL^6_x(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\lesssim \rho_1^j(\partial_{x_i}u)(\rho_2(u)+\rho_3(u))^2. \tag{4.17}$$

The estimate for $\rho_3(\partial_{x_j}^{\lambda}\mathscr{A}(\alpha|u|^{2\delta}u))$ is similar to $\rho_3(\partial_{x_j}^{\lambda}\mathscr{A}((\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2))$, the difference is that in (4.16), we will use

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Box_{k^{(1)}}u\ldots\Box_{k^{(\sigma+1)}}u\Box_{k^{(\sigma+2)}}\bar{u}\ldots\Box_{k^{(2\sigma+1)}}\bar{u}\|_{L_{t,x}^{\frac{2\sigma+2}{2\sigma+1}}(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\leqslant \|\Box_{k^{(1)}}u\|_{L_{x_j}^{\infty}L_{x_r(r\neq j)}^{2}L_t^2(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\prod_{m=2}^{\sigma+1}\|\Box_{k^{(m)}}u\|^{\sigma}_{L_{x_j}^{2\sigma}L_{x_r(r\neq j)}^{\infty}L_t^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\quad\times\prod_{m=\sigma+2}^{2\sigma+1}\|\Box_{k^{(m)}}\bar{u}\|^{\sigma}_{L_{t,x}^{2\sigma+1}(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

In addition to (4.15) and (4.10), we can obtain the estimate of $\rho_3(\partial_{x_j}^{\lambda}\mathscr{A}(\alpha|u|^{2\delta}u))$. Until now, we have obtain

$$\rho_3(\partial_{x_j}^{\lambda}\mathscr{A}_\nu(\alpha|u|^{2\delta}u)+\rho_3(\partial_{x_j}^{\lambda}\mathscr{A}_\nu(\lambda_1^i(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2)))\lesssim\|u\|_X^{2\delta+1}+\|u\|_X^3. \tag{4.18}$$

Collecting (4.7), (4.9), (4.18)

$$\|\mathscr{A}_\nu u\|_X\lesssim\|u_0\|_{M_{2,1}^3}+n(\|u\|_X^3+\|u\|_X^{2\delta+1}). \tag{4.19}$$

Using standard contraction mapping argument, we can obtain that Eq. (1.1) has a unique solution $u\in X$ with $\|u\|_X\leqslant C\|u_0\|_{M_{2,1}^3}$.

Finally, for the general case $s>3$, using similar way as in the above, we have

$$\|u\|_{X_s}\lesssim\|u_0\|_{M_{2,1}^s}+\|u\|_{X_s}\|u\|_{X_3}^2+\|u\|_{X_s}\|u\|_{X_3}^{2\delta}. \tag{4.20}$$

Since in the right hand side of (4.20), Using the fact that $\|u\|_{X_3}$ is sufficiently small, we can get that

$$\|u\|_{X_s}\lesssim\|u_0\|_{M_{2,1}^s}. \tag{4.21}$$

Finally, we show that $u\in L_t^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+;M_{2,1}^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$. From Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 3.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle k\rangle^s\|\Box_k\mathscr{A}_\nu[\overrightarrow{\lambda_1}\cdot\nabla(|u|^2u)+(\overrightarrow{\lambda_2}\cdot\nabla u)|u|^2]\|_{L_t^{\infty}L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\lesssim\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,|k_j|>4}\langle k_j\rangle^{s-1/2}\sum_{\mathbb{S}_{2,1}^{(1)}}\|\Box_k(\Box_{k^{(1)}}\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u)\|_{L_{x_j}^1L_{x_i(i\neq j)}^2L_t^2(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\quad+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,|k_j|>4}\sum_{\mathbb{S}_{2,2}^{(1)}}\|\Box_k(\Box_{k^{(1)}}\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u)\|_{L_t^1L_x^2(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,|k_j|\leqslant 4}\langle k_j\rangle^s\sum_{k^{(1)},k^{(2)},k^{(3)}}\|\Box_k(\Box_{k^{(1)}}\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u)\|_{L_t^1L_x^2(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{4.22}$$

From (4.22) and the estimate of ρ_1 and part IIV in ρ_3 above, we obtain

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle k\rangle^s\|\Box_k u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\lesssim\|u_0\|_{M_{2,1}^s}+\|u\|_{X_s}^3+\|u\|_{X_s}^{2\delta+1}, \tag{4.23}$$

which implies that $u\in L_t^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+;M_{2,1}^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

5 Limit behavior as $\nu\to 0$

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.2. Letting initial data u_0 belong to $M_{2,1}^4$ and $\|u_0\|_{L^2}$ small enough, we prove that the solution of derivative Ginzburg-Landau equation (1.1) will converge to that of derivative Schrödinger equation (1.2) as $\nu\to 0$.

Let $S(t)=\mathscr{F}^{-1}e^{-\mathrm{i}t|\xi|^2}\mathscr{F}$ denote the semi-group of derivative Schrödinger equation and $\mathscr{L}f(t,x)=\int_0^t S(t-\tau)f(\tau,x)d\tau$. Rewrite DCGL equation (1.1) as

$$u=S(t)u_0+\mathscr{L}[\overrightarrow{\lambda_1}\cdot\nabla(|u|^2u)+(\overrightarrow{\lambda_2}\cdot\nabla u)|u|^2+\alpha|u|^{2\delta}u]+\nu\mathscr{L}(\triangle u). \tag{5.1}$$

Then define:

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_T^1(u)&=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,|k_i|>4}\langle k_i\rangle\|\Box_k u\|_{L_{x_i}^\infty L_{(x_j)j\neq i}^2L_t^2([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)},\\
\rho_T^2(u)&=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\|\Box_k u\|_{L_{x_i}^2L_{(x_j)j\neq i}^\infty L_t^\infty([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)},\\
\rho_T^3(u)&=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\|\Box_k u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2\cap L_t^3L_x^6([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\|u\|_{Y_T}:=\sum_{\lambda=0,1}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(\rho_T^1(\partial_{x_j}^\lambda u)+\rho_T^2(\partial_{x_j}^\lambda u)+\rho_T^3(\partial_{x_j}^\lambda u)) \tag{5.2}$$

$$\lambda_1\cdot\nabla(|u|^2u)+(\lambda_2\cdot\nabla u)|u|^2=\sum_{i=1}^{n}[\lambda_1^i(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2+(2\lambda_1^i+\lambda_2^i)(\partial_{x_i}u)u\bar{u}].$$

Denote v is the solution of derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation (1.2) with the same initial data. Combining the method in [15], we only need to estimate the following

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u_\nu-v\|_{Y_T}\\
&\lesssim\sum_{i=1}^{n}\|\mathscr{L}\lambda_1^i((\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}_\nu)u_\nu^2-(\partial_{x_i}\bar{v})v^2)\|_{Y_T}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\|\mathscr{L}(2\lambda_1^i+\lambda_2^i)((\partial_{x_i}u_\nu)|u_\nu|^2-(\partial_{x_i}v)|v|^2)\|_{Y_T}\\
&\quad+\|\mathscr{L}(\bar{u}_\nu^\sigma u_\nu^{\sigma+1}-\bar{v}^\sigma v^{\sigma+1})\|_{Y_T}+\nu\|\mathscr{L}\triangle u_\nu\|_{Y_T}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Similar to the method in [15], take the first and third term in (5.3) for example. The second term can be treated in similar way.

$$(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}_\nu)u_\nu^2-(\partial_{x_i}\bar{v})v^2=\partial_{x_i}(\bar{u}_\nu-\bar{v})u_\nu^2+\partial_{x_i}\bar{v}(u_\nu-v)(u_\nu+v), \tag{5.4}$$

$$\bar{u}_\nu^\sigma u_\nu^{\sigma+1}-\bar{v}^\sigma v^{\sigma+1}=\bar{u}_\nu^\sigma(u_\nu-v)\sum_{q=0}^{\sigma}u_\nu^q v^{\sigma-q}+v^{\sigma+1}(\bar{u}_\nu-\bar{v})\sum_{q=0}^{\sigma-1}\bar{u}_\nu^q\bar{v}^{\sigma-1-q}. \tag{5.5}$$

Using the decomposition in (4.8) and combine the proof in Section 4, we only need to substitute $\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}$ with $\partial_{x_i}(\bar{u}_\nu-\bar{v})$ in the proof of $\|\mathscr{L}(\lambda_1^i(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2)\|_X$, then we have

$$\|\mathscr{L}\lambda_1^i(\partial_{x_i}(\bar{u}_\nu-\bar{v})u_\nu^2)\|_{Y_T}\leqslant C\|u_\nu-v\|_{Y_T}\|u_\nu\|_X^2.$$

Then substitute u^2 with $(u_\nu-v)(u_\nu+v)$, we have

$$\|\mathscr{L}\lambda_1^i(\partial_{x_i}\bar{v}(u_\nu-v)(u_\nu+v))\|_{Y_T}\leqslant C\|u_\nu-v\|_{Y_T}\|v\|_X(\|v\|_X+\|u_\nu\|_X).$$

Repeat the argument in (4.2), we have $\|u_\nu\|_X,\|v\|_X$ are sufficiently small. Then we have

$$\|\mathscr{L}\lambda_1^i((\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}_\nu)u_\nu^2-(\partial_{x_i}\bar{v})v^2)\|_{Y_T}\lesssim\frac{1}{10}\|u_\nu-v\|_{Y_T} \tag{5.6}$$

Similarly, in the estimate of $\|\alpha u^{\delta+1}u^\delta\|_Y$, we will substitute $u^{\delta+1}u^\delta$ with $\bar{u}_\nu^\delta(u_\nu-v)u_\nu^q v^{\delta-q}$ and $v^{\delta+1}(\bar{u}_\nu-\bar{v})\bar{u}_\nu^q\bar{v}^{\delta-1-q}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathscr{L}(\bar{u}_\nu^\delta u_\nu^{\delta+1}-\bar{v}^\delta v^{\delta+1})\|_{Y_T}&\leqslant C\|u_\nu-v\|_{Y_T}\left[\|u_\nu\|_X^{\delta+q}\|v\|_X^{\delta-q}+\|u_\nu\|_X^q\|v\|_X^{2\delta-q}\right]\\&\lesssim\frac{1}{10}\|u_\nu-u\|_{Y_T}.\end{aligned}$$

Moving the first three term in the right of (5.3) to the left, then from the definition of Y_T we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_\nu-v\|_{Y_T}&\leqslant C\nu\|\mathscr{L}\triangle u\|_{Y_T}\\&\lesssim\sum_{\lambda=0,1}\sum_{j=1}^n\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,|k_i|>4}\langle k_i\rangle\|\partial_{x_j}^\lambda\Box_k(\mathscr{L}\triangle u)\|_{L^\infty_{x_i}L^2_{(x_j)j\neq i}L^2_t([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\&\quad+\sum_{\lambda=0,1}\sum_{j=1}^n\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\|\partial_{x_j}^\lambda\Box_k(\mathscr{L}\triangle u)\|_{L^2_{x_i}L^\infty_{(x_j)j\neq i}L^\infty_t([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\&\quad+\sum_{\lambda=0,1}\sum_{j=1}^n\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\|\partial_{x_j}^\lambda\Box_k(\mathscr{L}\triangle u)\|_{L^\infty_tL^2_x\cap L^3_tL^6_x([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\&:=A_1+A_2+A_3.\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Similar to [15], applying Minkowski's inequality and (3.3), (3.12) and (2.26), we can obtain

$$A_1\lesssim\nu T\sum_{\lambda=0,1}\sum_{j=1}^n\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle k_i\rangle^{1/2}\langle k\rangle^2\|\Box_k(\partial_{x_j}^\lambda u)\|_{L^\infty_TL^2_x(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{5.8}$$

$$A_2 \lesssim \nu T n \sum_{\lambda=0,1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^2 \langle k\rangle^{1/2} \|\Box_k(\partial_{x_j}^{\lambda} u)\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{5.9}$$

$$A_3 \lesssim \nu T \sum_{\lambda=0,1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^2 \|\Box_k(\partial_{x_j}^{\lambda} u)\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{5.10}$$

From the argument in (4.20), and initial data belong to $M_{2,1}^4$, we have

$$\sum_{\lambda=0,1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{3/2} \langle k\rangle \|\Box_k(\partial_{x_j}^{\lambda} u)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \leqslant C.$$

Collection (5.7)–(5.10), we finally obtain

$$\|u_\nu - v\|_{Y_T} \lesssim \nu T \sum_{\lambda=0,1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{3/2} \langle k\rangle \|\Box_k(\partial_{x_j}^{\lambda} u)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{5.11}$$

In this way, we obtain the results of limit behavior

$$\|u_\nu - v\|_{Y_T} \to 0, \quad \nu \to 0.$$

6 Local well-posedness results for $n = 1, 2$

When $n = 1, 2$, $T \leqslant 1$, define

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_1^T(u) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n, |k_i|>4} \langle k_i\rangle^{s-1/2} \|\Box_k u\|_{L_{x_i}^\infty L_{(x_j)j\neq i}^2 L_T^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_1^i(u) \\
\rho_2^T(u) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \|\Box_k u\|_{L_{x_i}^2 L_{(x_j)j\neq i}^\infty L_T^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
\rho_3^T(u) &= \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{s-1} \|\Box_k u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Define resolution space as following:

$$X_s^T := \{u \in \mathscr{S}'([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n) : \|u\|_{X_s^T} := \sum_{l=1}^{3} \sum_{\lambda=0,1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \rho_l^T(\partial_{x_j}^{\lambda} u) \leqslant \delta_0\} \tag{6.1}$$

We write $X_{5/2}^T$ as X^T for short.

Similar to the proof of global well-posedness results, we only need to consider the case $u_0 \in M_{1,1}^s, s = 5/2$ is small enough and we have:

$$\rho_2^T(\partial_{x_j}^{\lambda} G_\nu(t)u_0) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k_i\rangle^{3/2} \|\Box_k u_0\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{M_{1,1}^{3/2}}$$

$\rho_1^T(\partial_{x_j}^\lambda G_\nu(t)u_0), \rho_3^T(\partial_{x_j}^\lambda G_\nu(t)u_0)$ are similar to section 4. So we have

$$\|G_\nu(t)u_0\|_{X^T} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{M_{1,1}^{5/2}} \tag{6.2}$$

Notice for any $p \geqslant 1, q \geqslant 2$, we have

$$\|\Box_k u\|_{L_T^p L_x^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim T^{1/p}\|\Box_k u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \tag{6.3}$$

From (3.23), Lemma 4.1 and Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_2^T(\partial_{x_j}^\lambda(\mathscr{A}(\lambda_1^i(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2))) \\
&\lesssim \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k_j\rangle^\lambda \langle k_l\rangle^{1/2} \|\Box_k(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2\|_{L_T^1 L_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\lesssim n\Big[\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{3/2}\|\Box_k(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})\|_{L_T^3 L_x^3(\mathbb{R}^n)} \times \big(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle k\rangle^{3/2}\|\Box_k u\|_{L_T^3 L_x^3(\mathbb{R}^n)}\big)^2\Big] \\
&\lesssim nT\rho_3(\partial_{x_i}u)\rho_3(u)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

From Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 3.2 and the estimate in (4.14), (A.1)–(A.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_3^T(\partial_{x_j}^\lambda(\mathscr{A}(\lambda_1^i(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2))) \\
&\lesssim n \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n, |k_j|>4} \langle k_j\rangle^{\lambda+3/2-1/2} \sum_{k^{(1)},k^{(2)},k^{(3)}} \|\Box_k(\Box_{k^{(1)}}\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u)\|_{L_{x_j}^1 L_{x_i(i\neq j)}^2 L_T^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&+ n \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n, |k_j|\leqslant 4} \langle k_j\rangle^{5/2} \sum_{k^{(1)},k^{(2)},k^{(3)}} \|\Box_k(\Box_{k^{(1)}}\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u)\|_{L_T^{4/3} L_x^{4/3}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\lesssim \rho_1^T(\partial_{x_i}u)\rho_2^T(u)^2 + T\rho_3^T(\partial_{x_i}u)\rho_3^T(u)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

$\rho_1^T(\partial_{x_j}^\lambda(\mathscr{A}(\lambda_1^i(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2)))$ is similar to section 4, we omit the detail. The estimate for $\|\mathscr{A}(\alpha|u|^{2\delta}u)\|_{X_s^T}$ is similar, we do not repeat here.

From the above, we obtain for any $T \leqslant 1$,

$$\|u\|_{X^T} \leqslant (1+T)\|u_0\|_{M_{1,1}^{5/2}} + (1+T)(\|u\|_{X^T}^3 + \|u\|_{X^T}^{2\delta+1}). \tag{6.6}$$

Using the small initial data which is independent of T, we have $u \in X_T$ and $\|u\|_{X_T}$ small enough. Similar to (4.20), we obtain that

$$\|u\|_{X_s^T} \leqslant \|u_0\|_{M_{1,1}^s} + (1+T)\|u\|_{X_s^T}(\|u\|_{X^T}^2 + \|u\|_{X^T}^{2\delta}), \quad s > 5/2.$$

Now, we show that $u \in L_T^\infty(M_{1,1}^{s-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Similar to the estimate of ρ_3 in Section 4, we also have

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{s-1/2}\|\Box_k[\overrightarrow{\lambda_1}\cdot\nabla(|u|^2u) + (\overrightarrow{\lambda_2}\cdot\nabla u)|u|^2]\|_{L_T^1 L_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n, |k_j|>4} \langle k_j\rangle^{s-1/2} \sum_{\mathbb{S}_{2,1}^{(j)}} \|\Box_k(\Box_{k^{(1)}}\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u)\|_{L_T^1L_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n, |k_j|\geqslant 4} \langle k_j\rangle^{s-1/2} \sum_{\mathbb{S}_{2,2}^{(j)}} \|\Box_k(\Box_{k^{(1)}}\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u)\|_{L_T^1L_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n, |k_j|\leqslant 4} \langle k_j\rangle^{s-1/2} \sum_{k^{(1)},k^{(2)},k^{(3)}} \|\Box_{k^{(1)}}\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u\|_{L_T^1L_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \\
&\leqslant \rho_1^T(\partial_{x_i}u)(\rho_2^T(u)+\rho_3^T(u))^2 + \rho_3^T(\partial_{x_i}u)\rho_3^T(u)^2, \qquad (6.7)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\Box_{k^{(1)}}(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u\|_{L_T^1L_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\leqslant \|\Box_{k^{(1)}}(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})|\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u|^{1/2}\|_{L_T^2L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\||\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u|^{1/2}\|_{L_T^2L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\lesssim T^{1/2}\|\Box_{k^{(1)}}(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})\|_{L_{x_j}^\infty L_{x_r(r\neq j)}^2 L_T^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\|_{L_{x_j}^1 L_{x_r(r\neq j)}^\infty L_T^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1/2}\|\Box_{k^{(3)}}u\|_{L_{x_j}^1 L_{x_r(r\neq j)}^\infty L_T^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1/2} \\
&\qquad \times \|\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1/2}\|\Box_{k^{(3)}}u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1/2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\|\Box_k u\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2,\ldots,x_n}^\infty L_T^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leqslant \|\Box_k u\|_{L_{x_1}^1 L_{x_2,\ldots,x_n}^\infty L_T^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \qquad (6.8)
$$

From Proposition 3.3 and Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle k\rangle^{s-1/2}\|\Box_k u\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\leqslant \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle k\rangle^{s-1/2}\|\Box_k G_\nu(t)u_0\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^1(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&+ \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle k\rangle^{s-1/2}\|\Box_k\mathscr{A}[\overrightarrow{\lambda_1}\cdot\nabla(|u|^2u)+(\overrightarrow{\lambda_2}\cdot\nabla u)|u|^2+\alpha|u|^{2\delta}u]\|_{L_T^\infty L_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\leqslant \|u_0\|_{M_{2,1}^{s-1/2}} + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\langle k\rangle^{s-1/2}\|\Box_k[\overrightarrow{\lambda_1}\cdot\nabla(|u|^2u)+(\overrightarrow{\lambda_2}\cdot\nabla u)|u|^2+\alpha|u|^{2\delta}u]\|_{L_T^1L_x^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\lesssim \|u_0\|_{M_{2,1}^{s-1/2}} + \|u\|_{X_s}^3 + \|u\|_{X_s}^{2\delta+1}. \qquad (6.9)
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain the local well-posedness results. The limit behavior results are almost the same as in Section 5.

7 Local well-posedness for the quadratic DNLS

In this section, we will prove local well-posedness results for equation

$$
u_t = (\nu+\mathrm{i})\triangle u + \vec{\lambda}\cdot\nabla(u^2), \quad u(0,x)=u_0(x), \qquad (7.1)
$$

and equation

$$v_t = i\triangle v + \vec{\lambda} \cdot \nabla(v^2), \quad v(0,x) = v_0(x). \tag{7.2}$$

When $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $T \leqslant 1$, define

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_1^T(u) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n, |k_i|>4} \langle k_i \rangle^{s-1/2} \|\Box_k u\|_{L^\infty_{x_i} L^2_{(x_j)j\neq i} L^2_T(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_1^i(u), \\
\rho_2^T(u) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \|\Box_k u\|_{L^1_{x_i} L^\infty_{(x_j)j\neq i} L^\infty_T(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \\
\rho_3^T(u) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k \rangle^{s-1} \|\Box_k u\|_{L^\infty_T L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^n)},
\end{aligned}$$

Define resolution space as following:

$$\tilde{X}^T_s := \{u \in \mathscr{S}'([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^n) : \|u\|_{\tilde{X}^T_s} := \sum_{l=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \rho_l^T(u) \leqslant \delta_0\}. \tag{7.3}$$

We write $\tilde{X}^T_3$ as $\tilde{X}^T$ for short.

We solve equation (7.1) first. Similar to the proof of global well-posedness results, we only need to consider the case $u_0 \in M^s_{1,1}, s = 3$ is small enough. Similar to section 4, We have

$$\|G_\nu(t) u_0\|_{\tilde{X}^T} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{M^3_{1,1}}. \tag{7.4}$$

From (3.23), Lemma 4.1 and Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_2^T(\mathscr{A}(\partial_{x_j} u^2)) &\lesssim \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k_j \rangle \langle k_l \rangle \|\Box_k u^2\|_{L^1_T L^1_x(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\lesssim n \Big[\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k \rangle^2 \|\Box_k u\|_{L^2_T L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^n)} \Big]^2 \\
&\lesssim n T \rho_3^T(u)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

Similar to (A.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_1^1(\mathscr{A}(\partial_{x_1} u^2)) \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n, |k_1|>4} \langle k_1 \rangle^{5/2} \sum_{\mathbb{S}^{(1)}_{2,1}} \|\Box_k (\Box_{k^{(1)}} u \Box_{k^{(2)}} u)\|_{L^1_{x_1} L^2_{x_2,\ldots,x_n} L^2_T(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n, |k_1|\leqslant 4} \langle k_1 \rangle^{7/2} \sum_{\mathbb{S}^{(1)}_{2,2}} \|\Box_k (\Box_{k^{(1)}} u \Box_{k^{(2)}} u)\|_{L^1_T L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&:= I + II.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

Notice (6.3) and

$$\|\Box_k u\|_{L^2_{x_1} L^\infty_{x_2,\dots,x_n} L^\infty_T(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leqslant \|\Box_k u\|_{L^1_{x_1} L^\infty_{x_2,\dots,x_n} L^\infty_T(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{7.7}$$

Similar to (A.2), (A.3), we have

$$I \leqslant \rho_1^T(u)\rho_2^T(u), \qquad II \leqslant \rho_2^T(u)^2. \tag{7.8}$$

So, $\rho_1^T(\mathscr{A}(\partial_{x_j} u^2)) \leqslant \rho_1^T(u)\rho_2^T(u) + \rho_2^T(u)^2$. We estimate $\rho_3^T(\mathscr{A}(\partial_{x_j} u^2))$ via a similar way as in (6.5):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_3^T(\mathscr{A}(\partial_{x_j} u^2)) \\
&\lesssim n \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n, |k_j|>4} \langle k_j\rangle^3 \sum_{k^{(1)},k^{(2)},k^{(3)}} \|\Box_k(\Box_{k^{(1)}} u \Box_{k^{(2)}} u)\|_{L^1_{x_j} L^2_{x_i(i\neq j)} L^2_T(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&+ n \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n, |k_j|\leqslant 4} \langle k_j\rangle^{7/2} \sum_{k^{(1)},k^{(2)},k^{(3)}} \|\Box_k(\Box_{k^{(1)}} u \Box_{k^{(2)}} u)\|_{L^{4/3}_T L^{4/3}_x(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\lesssim \rho_1^T(u)\rho_2^T(u) + T\rho_3^T(u)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.9}$$

So we obtain

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_3^T} \leqslant \|u_0\|_{M^3_{1,1}} + (1+T)\|u\|^2_{\tilde{X}_3^T},$$

$\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_3^T}$ is sufficiently small, and also

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_s^T} \leqslant \|u_0\|_{M^s_{1,1}} + (1+T)\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_s^T}\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_3^T}.$$

In this way, we can also obtain local well-posedness results for the solution v of Schrödinger equation (7.2). The inviscid limit for (7.1) is almost the same as section 5. We can obtain

$$\|u_\nu - v\|_{\tilde{X}_3^T} \to 0, \quad \nu \to 0. \tag{7.10}$$

We omit the detail here.

Via a similar way as in (6.7) and (6.9), we show that $u \in L^\infty_T(M^{s-1/2}_{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, $s > 3$. where we use

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Box_k(\Box_{k^{(1)}} u \Box_{k^{(2)}} u)\|_{L^1_T L^1_x(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\leqslant \|\Box_{k^{(1)}} u |\Box_{k^{(2)}} u|^{1/2}\|_{L^2_T L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^n)} \||\Box_{k^{(2)}} u|^{1/2}\|_{L^2_T L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\lesssim T^{1/2} \|\Box_{k^{(1)}} u\|_{L^\infty_{x_j} L^2_{x_r(r\neq j)} L^2_T(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\Box_{k^{(2)}} u\|^{1/2}_{L^1_{x_j} L^\infty_{x_r(r\neq j)} L^\infty_T(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\Box_{k^{(2)}} u\|^{1/2}_{L^\infty_T L^1_x(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then as the estimate of (6.7) and (6.9) in Section 6, we have

$$\|u\|_K \lesssim \|u_0\|_{M^{s-1/2}_{1,1}} + \|u\|_{\tilde{X}_s^T} \|u\|^{1/2}_{\tilde{X}_3^T} \|u\|^{1/2}_K, \tag{7.11}$$

where

$$\|u\|_K := \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{s-1/2} \|\Box_k u\|_{L^\infty_T L^1_x(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{7.12}$$

Using $\|u\|_{\tilde{X}_3^T}$ is sufficiently small, we obtain $u \in K$ and so $u \in L^\infty_T(M^{s-1/2}_{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$.

A Appendix

A.1 A nonlinear estimate

In this section, we will show the detail proof of (4.9). We follow the idea in our earlier work [37]. From (2.30), (3.22), Lemma 2.2 and (4.8), (where we put $\ell = 2$), obtained:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_1^1(\partial_{x_j}^\lambda(\mathscr{A}\lambda_1^i(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2)) \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,|k_1|>4}\langle k_1\rangle^{\lambda+3/2}\sum_{\mathbb{S}_{2,1}^{(1)}}\|\Box_k(\Box_{k^{(1)}}\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u)\|_{L^1_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&+\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,|k_1|>4}\langle k_1\rangle^{\lambda+2}\sum_{\mathbb{S}_{2,2}^{(1)}}\|\Box_k(\Box_{k^{(1)}}\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u)\|_{L^1_t(\mathbb{R}^+;L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\
&:= I + II
\end{aligned}\tag{A.1}$$

In view of the support property of $\widehat{\Box_k u}$, we can see

$$\Box_k(\Box_{k^{(1)}}(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u) = 0, \quad if \quad |k-k^{(1)}-k^{(2)}-k^{(3)}| \geqslant C.$$

For I, since $|k-k^{(1)}-k^{(2)}-k^{(3)}| \leqslant C$, it is easy to see $|k_1| \leqslant C\max_{r=1,2,3}|k_1^r|$, we can assume $|k_1^{(1)}| = \max_{r=1,2,3}|k_1^r|$. From Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,|k_1|>4}\langle k_1\rangle^{5/2}\sum_{\mathbb{S}_{2,1}^{(1)}}\|(\Box_{k^{(1)}}(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u)\|_{L^1_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k^{(1)}\in\mathbb{Z}^n,|k_1^{(1)}|>4}\langle k_1^{(1)}\rangle^{5/2}\|\Box_{k^{(1)}}\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\times\Big(\sum_{k^{(2)}\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\|\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\|_{L^2_{x_1}L^\infty_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^\infty_t(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^2 \\
&\lesssim \rho_1(\partial_{x_i}u)\rho_2(u)^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{A.2}$$

For II, from the definition of $\mathbb{S}_{\ell,2}^{(1)}$, and $|k-k^{(1)}-k^{(2)}-k^{(3)}| \leqslant C$, we have $|k_1| \leqslant C$. If we fix $k^{(1)}, k^{(2)}, k^{(3)}$, then k in the sum is finite. So from Holder inequality we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
II &\lesssim \sum_{\mathbb{S}_{2,2}^{(1)}}\|\Box_{k^{(1)}}\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u\|_{L^1_t(\mathbb{R}^+;L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k^{(1)}\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\|\Box_{k^{(1)}}\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}\|_{L^3_tL^6_x(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big(\sum_{k^{(2)}\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\|\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\|_{L^3_tL^6_x(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^2 \\
&\lesssim \rho_3(\partial_{x_i}u)\rho_3(u)^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{A.3}$$

Now we estimate $\rho_1^1(\partial_{x_2}^\lambda\mathscr{A}(\lambda_1^i(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2)), \lambda = 1$ (when $\lambda = 0$ then it is the same to the case $j = 1$). Let $P_i := \mathscr{F}^{-1}_{\xi_1,\xi_2}\psi_i\mathscr{F}_{x_1,x_2}$, where $\psi_i (i = 1, 2)$ be as in Lemma 3.13. From

Lemma 3.13, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho_1^1(\partial_{x_2}\mathscr{A}(\lambda_1^i(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2)\\
&\lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,|k_1|>4}\langle k_1\rangle^2\|P_1\partial_{x_2}\Box_k(\mathscr{A}\lambda_1^i(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2)\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&+\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,|k_1|>4}\langle k_1\rangle^2\|P_2\partial_{x_2}\Box_k(\mathscr{A}\lambda_1^i(\partial_{x_i}\bar{u})u^2)\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&:= III+IV.
\end{aligned}\tag{A.4}$$

For III, applying decomposition (4.8)(where we consider variable x_1, namely, divide into $\mathbb{S}_{2,1}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbb{S}_{2,2}^{(1)}$ in (4.8)), then from (3.27), and (3.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
III &\lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,|k_1|>4}\langle k_1\rangle^{5/2}\|P_1\partial_{x_2}\Box_k\mathscr{A}\sum_{\mathbb{S}_{2,1}^{(1)}}(\Box_{k^{(1)}}\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u)\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&+\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,|k_1|>4,|k_2|\lesssim|k_1|}\langle k_1\rangle^{5/2}\|P_1\partial_{x_2}\Box_k\mathscr{A}\sum_{\mathbb{S}_{2,2}^{(1)}}(\Box_{k^{(1)}}\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u)\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,|k_1|>4}\langle k_1\rangle^{5/2}\sum_{\mathbb{S}_{2,1}^{(1)}}\|\Box_k(\Box_{k^{(1)}}\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u)\|_{L^1_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&+\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,|k_1|>4,|k_2|\lesssim|k_1|}\langle k_1\rangle^2\langle k_2\rangle\sum_{\mathbb{S}_{2,2}^{(1)}}\|\Box_k(\Box_{k^{(1)}}\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u)\|_{L^1_t(\mathbb{R}^+;L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^n))}\\
&\lesssim \rho_1^1(\partial_{x_i}u)\rho_2(u)^2+\rho_3(\partial_{x_i}u)\rho_3(u)^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{A.5}$$

At the last step of (A.5), notice the definition of $\mathbb{S}_{2,2}^{(1)}$, it is easy to see $|k_2|\lesssim|k_1|\leqslant C$, then it comes back to (A.2), (A.3), so repeat the proof of (A.2), (A.3), we can obtain (A.5), as desired.

For the estimates of IV, applying the decomposition (4.8) (where we consider variable x_2, namely, divide into $\mathbb{S}_{2,1}^{(2)}$ and $\mathbb{S}_{2,2}^{(2)}$ in (4.8)), in addition to (3.28) and (3.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
IV &\lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,|k_1|>4}\langle k_1\rangle^{5/2}\|P_2\partial_{x_2}\Box_k\mathscr{A}\sum_{\mathbb{S}_{2,1}^{(2)}}(\Box_{k^{(1)}}\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u)\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&+\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,|k_1|>4,|k_1|\lesssim|k_2|}\langle k_1\rangle^{5/2}\|P_2\partial_{x_2}\Box_k\mathscr{A}\sum_{\mathbb{S}_{2,2}^{(2)}}(\Box_{k^{(1)}}\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u)\|_{L^\infty_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\lesssim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,|k_2|>4}\langle k_2\rangle^{5/2}\sum_{\mathbb{S}_{2,1}^{(2)}}\|\Box_k(\Box_{k^{(1)}}\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u)\|_{L^1_{x_1}L^2_{x_2,\dots,x_n}L^2_t(\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&+\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n,|k_1|>4,|k_1|\lesssim|k_2|}\langle k_1\rangle^2\langle k_2\rangle\sum_{\mathbb{S}_{2,2}^{(2)}}\|\Box_k(\Box_{k^{(1)}}\partial_{x_i}\bar{u}\Box_{k^{(2)}}u\Box_{k^{(3)}}u)\|_{L^1_t(\mathbb{R}^+;L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^n))}\\
&\lesssim \rho_1^2(\partial_{x_i}u)\rho_2(u)^2+\rho_3(\partial_{x_i}u)\rho_3(u)^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{A.6}$$

At the last step of (A.6), notice the definition of $\mathbb{S}_{2,2}^{(2)}$, it is easy to see $|k_1| \lesssim |k_2| \leqslant C$. This way, it comes back to (A.2), (A.3), follow the same process there, we can obtain (A.6), as desired.

A.2 Appendix

Lemma A.1 *For any* $s \in \mathbb{R}$ *and any* $s^+ > s$*, there exist* $\theta > 0$ *such that*

$$\|f\|_{M^s_{2,1}} \leqslant C^\theta \|f\|^{1-2\theta}_{M^{s^+}_{2,1}} \|f\|^\theta_{L^2}, \tag{A.7}$$

where $s^+ = \frac{s+2\theta}{1-2\theta}$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{M^s_{2,1}} &= \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \|\Box_k f\|_{H^s} \\
&\leqslant \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \|\Box_k f\|^{1-\theta}_{H^{s+\epsilon}} \|\Box_k f\|^\theta_{L^2} \\
&\leqslant \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^{(s+\epsilon)(1-\theta)} \|\Box_k f\|^{1-2\theta}_{L^2} \|\Box_k f\|^{2\theta}_{L^2} \\
&\leqslant \Big\| \langle k\rangle^{(s+\epsilon)(1-\theta)} \|\Box_k f\|^{1-2\theta}_{L^2} \Big\|_{l^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}} \Big\| \|\Box_k f\|^{2\theta}_{L^2} \Big\|_{l^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \\
&\leqslant \Big\| \langle k\rangle^{(s+\epsilon+\frac{2\theta}{1-\theta})} \|\Box_k f\|^{\frac{1-2\theta}{1-\theta}}_{L^2} \Big\|^{1-\theta}_{l^{\frac{1-\theta}{1-2\theta}}} \Big\| \langle k\rangle^{-\frac{2\theta}{1-\theta}} \Big\|^{1-\theta}_{l^{\frac{1-\theta}{\theta}}} \Big\| f \Big\|^\theta_{L^2} \\
&\leqslant C^\theta \|f\|^{1-2\theta}_{M^{s^+}_{2,1}} \|f\|^\theta_{L^2}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\theta = \frac{\epsilon}{s+\epsilon}$, $s^+ = \frac{1-\theta}{1-2\theta}(s+\epsilon+\frac{2\theta}{1-\theta})$. □

Lemma A.2 *For any* $s \in \mathbb{R}$ *and any* $s^+ > s$*, there exist* $\theta > 0$ *such that*

$$\|f\|_{M^s_{1,1}} \leqslant C \|f\|^{1-\theta}_{M^{s^+}_{1,1}} \|f\|^\theta_{L^1}, \tag{A.8}$$

where $s^+ = \frac{s+2\theta}{1-\theta}$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{M^s_{2,1}} &= \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^s \|\Box_k f\|_{L^1} \\
&\leqslant \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^s \|\Box_k f\|^{1-\theta}_{L^1} \|\Box_k f\|^\theta_{L^1} \\
&\leqslant \sup_k \|\Box_k f\|^\theta_{L^1} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^n} \langle k\rangle^s \|\Box_k f\|^{1-\theta}_{L^1} \\
&\leqslant \|f\|^\theta_{L^1} \Big\| \langle k\rangle^{(s+2\theta)} \|\Box_k f\|^{1-\theta}_{L^1} \Big\|_{l^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}} \Big\| \langle k\rangle^{-2\theta} \Big\|_{l^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leqslant C^{\theta}\|f\|_{L^1}^{\theta}\left\|\langle k\rangle^{\frac{s+2\theta}{1-\theta}}\|\Box_k f\|_{L^1}\right\|_{l^1}^{1-\theta}\\
&\leqslant C^{\theta}\|f\|_{M^{s^+}_{1,1}}^{1-\theta}\|f\|_{L^1}^{\theta},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $s^+ = \frac{s+2\theta}{1-\theta}$.

References

[1] J. Bergh, Löfström, J. Interpolation spaces. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 223. Springer, Berlin-New York, 1976.

[2] P. Bechouche, A. Jüngel, Inviscid limits of the complex Ginzburg–Landau equation, Commun. Math. Phys., **214** (2000), 201–226.

[3] H. R. Brand, R. J. Deissler, Interaction of localized solutions for subcritical bifurcations, Phys. Rev. Lett., **63** (1989) 2801–2804.

[4] Micheal Christ, Ill posedness of a Schrödinger equation with derivative nonlinearity. Preprint.

[5] T. Cazenave, F. B. Weissler, The cauchy problem for the critical nonlinear Schrödingger equation in H^s. Nonlinear Anal., **14** (1990), 807–836.

[6] M. C. Cross, P. C. Hohenberg, Pattern formation outside of equilibrium, Rev. Mod. Phys., **65** (1993) 851–1089.

[7] H. Chihara, Gain of regularity for semilinear Schrödinger equations, Math. Ann., **315** (1999), 529–567.

[8] J. Duan, P. Holmes, and E. S. Titi, Regularity approximation and asymptotic dynamics for a generalized Ginzburg–Landau equation, Nonlinearity., **6** (1993), 915–933.

[9] H. G. Feichtinger, Modulation spaces on locally compact Abelian group, Technical Report, University of Vienna, 1983. Published in: "Proc. Internat. Conf. on Wavelet and Applications", 99–140. New Delhi Allied Publishers, India, 2003. http://www.unive.ac.at/nuhag-php/bibtex/ open_files/fe03-1_modspa03.pdf.

[10] J. Ginibre, G. Velo, Generalized Strichartz inequalities for the wave equations. J.Funct. Anal., **133** (1995), 50–68.

[11] J. Ginibre, G. Velo, The Cauchy problem in local spaces for the complex Ginzburg–Landau equation II, Contraction Methods, Commun. Math. Phys., **187** (1997), 45–79.

[12] V. Ginzburg and L. Landau, On the theory of superconductivity, Zh. Eksp. Fiz., **20** (1950), 1064; English transl. in: Men of Physics: L. D. Landau, Vol. L, D. Ter Haar(Ed.), New York: Pergamon Press, 1965, 546–568.

[13] B. L. Guo and B. X. Wang, Finite dimensional behavior for the derivative Ginzburg-Landau equation in two spatial dimensions, Physica D., **89**(1995), 83–99.

[14] H. Gao and J. Duan, On the initial value problem for the generalized 2D Ginzburg-Landau equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **216** (1997), 536–548.

[15] L. Han, B. Wang, Global wellposedness and limit behavior for the generalized finite-depth-fluid equation with small critical data, J. Differential Equation., **245** (2008), 2103–2144.

[16] Z. Huo, Y. Jia, Well-posedness and inviscid limit behavior of solution for the generalized 1D Ginzburg–Landau equation. J. Math. Pures Appl., **92**(2009) 18–51.

[17] C. Huang, B. Wang, Inviscid limit for the energy-critical complex Ginzburg-Landau equation, Journal of Functional Analysis., **255** (2008), 681–725.

[18] N. Hayashi, The initial value problem for the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the energy space. Nonlinear Anal., TMA., **20** (1993)823–833.

[19] N. Hayashi, T. Ozawa, Remarks on nonlinear Schrödinger equations in one space dimension. Differential and Integral Equations., **2**(1994), 453–461.

[20] A. Ionsescu and C. E. Kenig, Low-regularity Schrödingger maps, II:Global well posedness in dimension $d \geqslant 3$, Commun. Math. Physics., **271** (2007), 523–559.

[21] T. Kato, Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation . II. H^s-solutions and unconditional well-possedness. J.Anal. Math., **67** (1995), 281–306.

[22] M. Keel, T. Tao, Endpoint Strichartz estimates. Amer. J. Math., **120** (1998), 955–980.

[23] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega, Oscillatory integrals and regularity of dispersive equations, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **40** (1991), 32–69.

[24] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega, Higher-order nonlinear dispersive equations. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **122** (1994), 157–166.

[25] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega, Small solutions to nonlinear Schrodinger equation, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré. Sect. C., **10** (1993) 255–288.

[26] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega, Smoothing effects and local existence theory for the generalized nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Invent. Math., **134** (1998) 489–545.

[27] Kato, T. Nonlinear Schrödingger equation . II. H^s-solutions and unconditional well-possedness. J.Anal. Math., **67** (1995), 281–306.

[28] S. Klainerman, Long-time behavior of solutions to nonlinear evolution equations, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **78** (1982) 73–98.

[29] S. Klainerman, G. Ponce, Global small amplitude solutions to nonlinear evolution equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **36** (1983) 133–141.

[30] Y. Li, B. Guo, Global existence of solutions to the 2D GinzburgCLandau equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **249** (2000) 412–432.

[31] S. Machihara, Y. Nakamura, The inviscid limit for the complex Ginzburg-Landau equation, JMAA., **281** (2003), 552–564.

[32] T. Ozawa, J. Zhai, Global existence of small classical solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linear., **25** (2008) 303–311.

[33] F. Linares and G. Ponce, On the Davey-Stewartson systems, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. Non-Linéaire., **10** (1993), 523–548.

[34] E. M. Stein,. Harmonic Analysis. Princeton University Press, 1993.

[35] E. M. Stein and Guido Weiss,. Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces. Princeton University Press, 1971.

[36] A. Stefanov, On quadratic derivative Schrödingger equations in one space dimension, Transactions of American Mathematical Sociaty., **359** (2007), 3589–3607.

[37] B. Wang, L. Han, C. Huang, Global Well-posedness and Scattering for the Derivative Nonlinear Schrödingger Equation with Small Rough Data, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. Nonlinéaire.26(2009),2253-2281.

[38] B. Wang, The limit behavior of solutions for the Cauchy problem of the Complex Ginzburg-Landau equation, Commu. Pure. Appl. Math., **55** (2002), 0481–0508.

[39] B. Wang, B. Guo, L. Zhao, The global well-posedness and spatial decay of solutions for the derivative complex Ginzburg–Landau equation in H^1. Nonlinear Anal., **57** (2004) 1059–1076.

[40] B. X. Wang and H. Hudzik, The global Cauchy problem for the NLS and NLKG with small rough data, J. Differential Equations., **231** (2007), 36–73.

[41] B. X. Wang, Y. D. Wang, The inviscid limit for the derivative Ginzburg-Landau equations, J. Math. Pures Appl., **83** (2004), 477-502.

[42] J. H. Wu, The inviscid limit of the complex Ginzburg-Landau equation, J. Differential Equations., **142** (1998), 413–433.

Acknwoledgments

The author is grateful to Z. Guo for his enlightening advice.